



Contents

Key staff involved in the policy.....	4
Purpose of the policy.....	4
Roles and responsibilities overview	4
Resilience and contingency arrangements.....	6
Cyber security.....	6
Recruitment, selection, training and support	7
External and internal governance arrangements	7
Escalation Process	7
Delivery of qualifications	8
Public liability	8
Conflicts of interest	8
Controlled assessments, coursework and non-examination assessments	8
Security of assessment materials	8
National Centre Number Register and other information requirements.....	8
Centre inspections.....	9
Policies available for inspection	9
Child Protection/Safeguarding Policy (Exams)	10
Complaints Policy (Exams).....	10
Conflicts of interest	10
Conflicts of Interest Policy (Exams)	10
Data Protection Policy (Exams)	11
Equalities Policy.....	11
Contingency Plan.....	11
Internal Appeals Procedure.....	11
Malpractice Policy	11
Non-examination Assessment (including controlled assessments and coursework) Policy	11
Whistleblowing Policy (Exams).....	11
Word Processor Policy (Exams)	12
Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments	12
Malpractice.....	12
Personal data.....	13
The exam cycle	15
Planning: roles and responsibilities	15
Secure materials.....	15
The secure room and the secure storage facility	15

Information sharing	15
Information gathering	16
Access arrangements.....	16
Alternative Rooming Arrangements Policy (Exams).....	17
Internal assessment and endorsements.....	17
Invigilation	18
Entries: roles and responsibilities	18
Estimated entries	19
Final entries	19
Late entries.....	19
Private Candidates Policy	19
Candidate statements of entry	20
Pre-exams: roles and responsibilities	20
Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments	20
Briefing candidates	20
Access to Scripts, Reviews of Results and Appeals Procedures.....	21
Dispatch of exam scripts.....	21
Estimated grades.....	21
Internal assessment and endorsements.....	21
Invigilation	22
JCQ Centre Inspections.....	22
Seating and identifying candidates in exam rooms.....	22
Candidate Identification Procedure	22
Security of exam materials	23
Timetabling and rooming	23
Alternative site arrangements.....	24
Centre consortium arrangements	24
Transferred candidate arrangements.....	24
Internal exams/assessments	24
Exam time: roles and responsibilities	25
Access arrangements.....	25
Candidate absence	25
Candidate Absence Policy	25
Candidate behaviour	25
Candidate belongings	25
Candidate late arrival	25
Candidate Late Arrival Policy.....	26
Conducting exams	26
Dispatch of exam scripts.....	26
Exam papers and materials	26
Exam rooms.....	26

Food and Drink Policy (Exams)	27
Leaving the Examination Room Policy.....	27
Emergency Evacuation Policy (Exams)	27
Lockdown Policy (Exams)	27
Irregularities	28
Managing Behaviour Policy (Exams).....	28
Malpractice.....	28
Special consideration.....	28
Special Consideration Policy.....	28
Unauthorised items	28
Arrangements for unauthorised items taken into the exam room	28
Internal exams/assessments	29
Results and post-results: roles and responsibilities.....	29
Internal assessment.....	29
Managing results day(s)	29
Accessing results.....	29
Post-results services	30
Analysis of results.....	30
Certificates.....	30
Exams review: roles and responsibilities	30
Retention of records: roles and responsibilities	31
Appendix 1 - Exam Contingency Plan	32
Appendix 2 – Disability Policy (specific to exams).....	41
Appendix 3 – Access Arrangement Policy	46
Appendix 4- Use of Word Processors in Exams	47
Appendix 5 – Complaints and appeals procedure.....	47
Appendix 6- Malpractice	49
Appendix 7 – Controlled Assessment Policy.....	50
Appendix 8 – Non-examination Assessment Policy.....	51
Appendix 9 – Emergency Evacuation Policy.....	63
Appendix 10- Managing behaviour in an exam.....	64
Appendix 11 – Special Consideration Policy.....	65
Appendix 12 – Internal Appeals Procedure	68

Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	Vicki Jackson
Senior leader	Gavin Parker-Smith
Exams officer	Karen Cavanagh
SENCo	Helen Howson

Purpose of the policy

The centre is committed to ensuring that the examinations/assessments management and administration process is run effectively and efficiently and in compliance with the published JCQ regulations and awarding body requirements.

This policy will ensure that:

- all aspects of the centre's process is documented, supporting the centre's contingency plan, and other relevant exams-related policies and procedures are signposted to
- the workforce is well informed and supported
- all centre staff involved in the process clearly understand their roles and responsibilities
- all exams and assessments are conducted according to JCQ and awarding body regulations, guidance and instructions, thus maintaining the integrity and security of the examination/assessment system at all times
- exam candidates understand the process and what is expected of them

This policy is reviewed annually to ensure ways of working in the centre are accurately reflected and that exams and assessments are conducted to current JCQ (and awarding body) regulations, instructions and guidance.

This policy will be communicated to all relevant centre staff.

Roles and responsibilities overview

(GR 2)

The **head of centre** is the individual who is accountable to the awarding bodies for ensuring that the centre is always compliant with the published JCQ regulations and awarding body requirements to ensure the security and integrity of the examinations/ assessments. This individual must have the authority to deploy the necessary resources to ensure that the centre is always compliant in meeting published JCQ regulations and awarding body requirements.

The **examinations officer** is the person appointed by the head of centre to act on behalf of, and be the main point of contact for, the centre in matters relating to the general administration of awarding body examinations and assessments.

The head of centre must not normally appoint themselves as the examinations officer. A head of centre and an examinations officer are two distinct and separate roles.

The head of centre and/or examinations officer may operate across more than one centre. In such cases the head of centre must ensure there is suitable senior leadership team support in place, so they can meet their obligations across all centres for which they are responsible. The head of centre must ensure that these arrangements are covered by their examination contingency plan.

Head of centre responsibilities

(GR 1)

Heads of centre must ensure that senior leadership teams and exam office personnel familiarise themselves with the entire contents of the current [General Regulations for Approved Centres](#) (GR) booklet. In particular, heads of centre must familiarise themselves with paragraphs 5.1, 5.3 and 5.4.

Heads of centre must ensure that relevant members of staff respond promptly to actions raised by the JCQ Centre Inspection Service. Failure to do so could result in the centre not receiving or being able to access question papers and other confidential assessment materials. Ultimately, awarding bodies could withdraw approval of the centre.

Heads of centre must ensure that relevant members of staff respond promptly to requests for information from awarding bodies relating to the administration and conducting of examinations/assessments.

(ICE Introduction) **It is the responsibility of the head of centre to ensure that all staff comply with the instructions in the Instructions for conducting examinations document.** Failure to do so may constitute malpractice as defined in the JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures, 1 September 2024 to 31 August 2025.**

(GR 5.1)

The head of centre must ensure:

- compliance with the published JCQ regulations and awarding body requirements to deliver the qualification(s)
- appropriate controls are in place which ensure accurate data is submitted to the awarding bodies by the required deadlines, e.g. entries, internally assessed marks
- all reasonable steps are taken to respond promptly to requests for information or documentation made by an awarding body or regulatory authority

Head of centre

- Understands the contents, refers to and directs relevant centre staff to annually updated JCQ documents including:
 - [General Regulations for Approved Centres](#) (GR)
 - [Instructions for conducting examinations](#) (ICE)
 - [Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments](#) (AARA)
 - [Suspected Malpractice - Policies and Procedures](#) (SMPP)
 - [Instructions for conducting coursework](#) (ICC)
 - [Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments](#) (NEA)
 - [A guide to the special consideration process](#) (SC)
- Ensures the centre has appropriate accommodation to support the size of the cohorts being taught including appropriate accommodation for candidates requiring access arrangements and/or practical assessments
- Where/if using a third party to deliver any part of a qualification (including its assessments) at the centre:
 - maintains oversight of, and responsibility for, the delivery of the qualification in accordance with JCQ regulations and awarding body requirements
 - has in place a written agreement with the third party (unless exclusions apply) to ensure there is a shared understanding of the arrangement and will manage the risk of failure by the third party to deliver the expected service
 - ensures that a copy of the written agreement is available for inspection if requested by the awarding body
- Ensures that relevant members of staff respond promptly to actions raised by the JCQ Centre Inspection Service, understanding that failure to do so could result in penalties (see **National Centre Number Register and other information requirements** section)
- Ensures that the centre promptly reports any incidents to the relevant awarding body/bodies which might compromise any aspect of assessment delivery, such as a cyber-attack
- Ensures members of centre staff do not forward emails and letters from awarding body or JCQ personnel without prior consent to third parties or upload such correspondence onto social media sites and applications (including third party applications)
- Ensures members of centre staff do not advise parents/candidates to contact awarding bodies/JCQ directly nor provide them with the names, addresses and contact details (including email addresses) of examiners, moderators, external verifiers and any other awarding body examining/assessment personnel/JCQ personnel

Resilience and contingency arrangements

(GR 3.16-19)

The centre must ensure they are familiar with the regulators' guidance on ensuring resilience in the qualifications system. Centres should consider putting in place a process for gathering evidence of candidate performance in line with the published guidance.

The centre must have an up to date written contingency plan.

The contingency plan must cover all aspects of examination/assessment administration and delivery. Senior leaders must have robust contingency arrangements in place that will minimise the risk to examination/assessment administration and delivery and any adverse impact on candidates.

The plan must cover the following scenarios:

- the head of centre, relevant senior leader(s) with oversight of examination and assessment administration, SENCo/ALNCo, examinations officer or any other key staff essential to the examination process being absent at a critical stage of the examination cycle
- the potential impact of other events such as flooding which could lead to all or parts of the centre becoming unavailable
- potential issues with the centre's IT systems.

As part of their contingency plan centres must identify an alternative site if examinations cannot be conducted at the registered address. Larger centres may require more than one potential alternative site or different sites for different Year Groups.

The centre must have at least one senior member of staff (senior designated contact) who is available to manage emergency requests from awarding bodies that are results related during the summer holidays. However, a number of contacts can be provided to reduce the risk of this falling on one individual throughout the summer holidays.

The centre must ensure that candidates' work is backed-up and should consider the contingency of candidates' work being backed-up on two separate devices, including one off-site back-up. Centres must implement appropriate security arrangements which protect candidates' work in the event of IT system corruption and cyber-attacks.

Cyber security

(GR 3.21)

The **head of centre** must ensure there are procedures in place to maintain the security of user accounts by:

- ensuring that all members of centre staff who access awarding bodies' online systems undertake annual cyber security training. The training must include:
 - the importance of creating strong, unique passwords for all accounts;
 - keeping all account details strictly confidential;
 - the critical role of Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) in protecting against unauthorised access;
 - how to properly set up and use MFA for both centre and awarding bodies' systems;
 - an awareness of all types of social engineering/phishing attempts;
 - the importance of staff quickly reporting any suspicious activity, events, incidents and encouraging a safe and supportive reporting culture.

(Certificates of completed staff cyber training must be downloaded and held on file for inspection.)

- developing and maintaining a comprehensive cyber security policy for the centre.
- implementing and enforcing robust security measures, including:
 - mandatory MFA for all accounts and systems containing exam-related information, including those that interface between awarding body and centre systems, to enhance security and protect sensitive data;
 - regularly reviewing and updating security settings to align with current best practices;
 - updating any passwords that may have been exposed;
- setting up secure account recovery options;
- reviewing and managing connected applications;

- monitoring accounts and regularly reviewing account access, including removing access when no longer required;
- ensuring authorised members of staff securely access awarding bodies' online systems in line with awarding body regulations regarding cyber security and the JCQ document Guidance for centres on cyber security;
- Authorised staff will have access, where necessary, to a device which complies with awarding bodies' MFA requirements.
- reporting any actual or suspected compromise of an awarding body's online systems immediately to the relevant awarding body

Recruitment, selection, training and support

(GR 5.3)

It is the responsibility of the **head of centre** to ensure that the centre:

- Retains a workforce of an appropriate size and competence, including sufficient managerial and other resource, to undertake the delivery of the qualification as required by an awarding body. This includes taking reasonable steps to ensure occupational competence where this is required for the assessment of specific qualifications
- Provides fully qualified teachers to mark non-examination assessments, and/or fully qualified assessors for the verification of centre-assessed components
- Ensures that teaching staff do not use artificial intelligence (AI) as the sole means of marking candidates' work
- Enables the relevant senior leader(s), the examinations officer (EO) and the SENCo (or equivalent role) to receive appropriate training and support in order to facilitate the effective delivery of examinations and assessments within the centre, and ensure compliance with the published JCQ and awarding body regulations
- Ensures that the SENCo (or equivalent role) understands the JCQ document [Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments](#) and is given sufficient time to manage the access arrangements process within the centre
- Ensures that the examinations officer understands relevant awarding body and JCQ documentation and has sufficient time to perform their role
- Ensures that any member(s) of the senior leadership team who are responsible for examination administration familiarise themselves with relevant awarding body and JCQ documentation (This will ensure the examinations officer and the SENCo are supported as well as ensuring effective centre decision making in line with the published regulations)
- Ensures that teachers understand the relevant awarding body and JCQ documentation for the qualifications they are delivering to ensure they are delivered in line with the relevant regulations

External and internal governance arrangements

(GR 5.3)

- Has in place a written escalation process should the head of centre, or a member of the senior leadership team with oversight of examination and assessment administration, be absent

Escalation Process

If the head of centre is absent, the deputy headteacher would assume responsibilities with support from the senior leader with oversight of examination and assessment administration. If the senior leader is absent, the head of centre would assume responsibilities. Other significant absences are included in Appendix 1- exam contingency plan.

- Has in place a member of the senior leadership team who has a good working knowledge of the examination system, will provide effective line management support and supervision of the examinations officer to ensure that the integrity and security of examinations and assessments is maintained throughout an examination series
- Ensures centre staff undertake key tasks within the exams process and meet internal deadlines set by the EO

- Can confirm to an awarding body the external governance arrangements so that the awarding body has confidence in the integrity of centre activities such as the delivery of qualifications and the conducting of examinations and assessments

Delivery of qualifications

(GR 5.3)

- Delivers qualifications, as required by the awarding body and in accordance with relevant equality legislation. This includes but is not limited to ensuring that qualifications are made available to all candidates capable of undertaking them and seeking and implementing reasonable adjustments for disabled candidates
- Enables candidates to receive sufficient and up to date practical experience, or relevant training where required by the subject concerned

Public liability

(GR 5.3)

- Complies with local health and safety rules which are in place and that the centre is adequately covered for public liability claims

Conflicts of interest

(GR 5.3) See Policies below)

Controlled assessments, coursework and non-examination assessments

(GR 5.3)

- Has in place arrangements to co-ordinate and standardise all marking of centre-assessed components and to ensure that candidates' centre-assessed work is produced, authenticated and marked, or assessed and quality assured in accordance with the awarding bodies' instructions (This applies to both internal and private candidates)
- Submits in accordance with awarding bodies' instructions, information they may reasonably require in relation to their examinations and assessments, returning all subject-specific forms by the required date

Security of assessment materials

(GR 5.3)

- Takes all reasonable steps to maintain the integrity of the examinations/assessments, including the security of all assessment materials, by ensuring:
 - the security of all assessment materials
 - that assessment materials supplied to the centre by the awarding body, including pre-release materials and set assignments, and information about their contents are only shared with appropriate centre staff and candidates and are not shared outside the centre
 - reporting immediately to the awarding body/bodies any potential or actual breach of examination or assessment materials
- Makes arrangements to:
 - receive, check and store question papers and examination material safely and securely at all times and for as long as required in accordance with the current JCQ document *Instructions for conducting examinations*
 - access, download, print (where appropriate) and store electronic assessment materials safely and securely at all times in accordance with section 4 of the current JCQ document *Instructions for conducting examinations*
 - receive and issue material received from the awarding bodies to staff and candidates, and notify them of any advice and instructions relevant to the examinations and assessments
- Provides candidates access to relevant pre-release materials on, or as soon as possible after, the date specified by the awarding bodies

National Centre Number Register and other information requirements

(GR 5.3)

- Provides contact details as follows:

- a physical address to which all examination and assessment materials will be despatched – this must be the registered address of the centre
- a landline telephone number – this must be the number of the main office/ switchboard of the centre
- a contact email address for communications – this must be the email address of the person or team responsible for the administration of examinations (Personal email addresses such as 'Yahoo', 'Hotmail' and 'Gmail' are not acceptable)

Note: Except for WJEC, if this is a shared email account it must not be used to access awarding body secure websites
- the name of the head of centre and their email address
- senior designated contact details (this might include a personal mobile number and/or email address) (These must be the contact details of someone who can be reached in an emergency if the centre is closed over the summer and who can mobilise resources to respond to the issue)
- Responds to the National Centre Number Register annual update by the end of October every year
 - informs the National Centre Number Register Team immediately (email address – ncn@ocr.org.uk) if any changes occur after the National Centre Number Register annual update has taken place (This must be on centre headed stationery which can be sent as an email attachment including the signature of the head of centre)
 - informs the National Centre Number Register Team (email address – ncn@ocr.org.uk) of any changes to relevant contact details no later than 6 weeks prior to moving to a new address or re-locating of the secure storage facility (This must be on centre headed stationery which can be sent as an email attachment)
 - informs the National Centre Number Register Team immediately of any other changes in circumstances that could affect the centre's status
 - responds, by completing the Head of Centre Declaration, to the National Centre Number Register request for confirmation that they are aware of and adhering to the latest versions of the JCQ regulations, and does so no later than the end of October every year
 - responds to any other reasonable requests made by the National Centre Number Register Team
- (GR 1.9) Understands that this responsibility for completing the Head of Centre declaration survey cannot be delegated to a member of the senior leadership team or the examinations officer, and acknowledges that failure to respond to the NCNR annual update, and/or the head of centre's declaration, will result in:
 - the centre status being suspended
 - the centre not being able to submit examination entries
 - the centre not receiving or being able to access question papers
 and ultimately, awarding bodies could withdraw their approval of the centre

Centre inspections

(GR 5.3)

- Co-operates with the JCQ Centre Inspection Service, an awarding body or a regulatory authority when subject to an inspection, an investigation or an unannounced visit
- Allows all venues used for examinations and assessments, paperwork and secure storage facilities to be open to inspection
- Understands the JCQ Centre Inspector will identify themselves with a formal identity document and **must** be accompanied throughout their tour of the premises, including inspection of the centre's secure storage facility

Policies available for inspection

(GR 5.3)

- Has in place the following policies for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually:
 - a written child protection/safeguarding policy in place, including Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) clearance, which satisfies current legislative requirements

Child Protection/Safeguarding Policy (Exams)

[Policies & Other Key Documents - Caldew School](#) See safeguarding policy

- a written complaints policy

Complaints Policy (Exams)

[Policies & Other Key Documents - Caldew School](#) See general complaints procedure

Appendix 5: Complaints & Appeals Procedure

- a written conflicts of interest policy

Conflicts of interest

(GR 5.3)

- Manages conflicts of interest by informing the awarding bodies before the published deadline for entries for each examination series of any potential conflict of interest where:
 - any members of centre staff who are taking a qualification at this centre which includes internally assessed components/units*
 - any members of centre staff who are teaching and preparing members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) for qualifications which include internally assessed components/units, **and**
- maintains internal records (that confirm the measures taken/protocols in place to mitigate any potential risk to the integrity of the qualifications affected) of all instances where:
 - exams office staff have members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter) being entered for examinations and assessments either at this centre or other centres
 - centre staff are taking qualifications at this centre which do not include internally assessed components/units*
 - centre staff are taking qualifications at other centres
- Retains records of all conflicts of interest including details of the measures taken to mitigate any potential risk to the integrity of the qualifications affected (The records may be inspected by a JCQ Centre Inspector and/or awarding body staff. They might be requested in the event of concerns being reported to an awarding body. The records must be retained until the deadline for reviews of marking has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later)
- *Notes that entering members of centre staff for qualifications at their own centre must be as a last resort in cases where the member of centre staff is unable to find another centre, and ensures:
 - proper protocols are in place to prevent the member of centre staff having access to examination materials prior to the examination and that other centre staff are briefed on maintaining the integrity and confidentiality of the examination materials
 - during the examination series the member of centre staff is treated in the same way as any other candidate entered for that examination, does not have access to examination materials and does not receive any preferential treatment

Conflicts of Interest Policy (Exams)

A declaration is completed annually by all members of staff to identify conflicts of interest (paper copy or Microsoft Forms). Responses are collated and measures to mitigate implemented by the senior leader and exams officer.

- a written data protection policy

Data Protection Policy (Exams)

[Policies & Other Key Documents - Caldew School](#) See GDPR policy

- a written equalities policy

Equalities Policy

[Policies & Other Key Documents - Caldew School](#) See equality policy

Appendix 2: Disability Policy

Appendix 3: Access Arrangement Policy

Appendix 4: Use of Word Processors in Exams

- a written contingency plan which covers all aspects of examination/ assessment administration and delivery

Contingency Plan

Appendix 1: Exam Contingency Plan

- a written internal appeals procedure which must cover at least appeals regarding internal assessment decisions, access to post-result services and appeals, and centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration

Internal Appeals Procedure

Appendix 12: Internal Appeals Procedure

- a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre. The policy must detail how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body. It must also acknowledge the use of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risks of using AI, what AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice).

Malpractice Policy

Appendix 6: Malpractice

Appendix 8: Non-Exam Assessment Policy

- a written policy regarding the management of non-examination assessments including controlled assessments and coursework. (For CCEA GCSE centres this would be a written controlled assessments policy)

Non-examination Assessment (including controlled assessments and coursework) Policy

Appendix 7: Controlled Assessment Policy

Appendix 8: Non-Exam Assessment Policy

- a written whistleblowing policy

Whistleblowing Policy (Exams)

[Policies & Other Key Documents - Caldew School](#) See whistleblowing policy

- a written policy on the use of word processors in examinations

Word Processor Policy (Exams)

Appendix 4: Use of Word Processors in Exams

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

(GR 5.4)

The head of centre/senior leadership team will:

- appoint a SENCo, or an equivalent member of staff, who will coordinate the access arrangements process within the centre and determine appropriate arrangements for candidates with learning difficulties and disabilities, candidates for whom English is an additional language, as well as those with a temporary illness or temporary injury
- ensure that learners have the correct information and advice on their selected qualification(s) in an accessible format and that the qualification(s) meet their needs (The recruitment process must include the centre assessing each potential learner and making justifiable and professional judgements about the learner's potential to complete the examinations/assessments successfully and achieve the qualification(s). The centre's assessment must identify, where appropriate, the support that will be made available to the learner to facilitate access to examinations/assessments)
- recognise its duties towards disabled candidates, including private candidates, ensuring compliance with all aspects of the Equality Act 2010†, particularly Section 20 (7) (This must include a duty to explore and provide access to suitable courses, through the access arrangements process submit applications for reasonable adjustments and make reasonable adjustments to the service the centre provides to disabled candidates. Where the centre is under a duty to make a reasonable adjustment, the centre must not charge a disabled candidate any additional fee in relation to the adjustment or aid) †or any legislation in a relevant jurisdiction other than England and Wales which has an equivalent purpose and effect
- ensure that the SENCo undertakes the necessary and appropriate steps to gather a picture of need and demonstrate normal way of working for a private candidate such as a distance learner or a home educated student (The centre, where required, must lead on the assessment process. The candidate must be assessed by the centre's appointed assessor. In some instances, depending on their needs, the candidate may have to be assessed away from the centre, for example at home. The centre must comply with the obligation to identify the need for, request and implement access arrangements)
- ensure that where a candidate with a learning difficulty requires an assessment of their needs, they are assessed by an appropriately qualified assessor as appointed by the head of centre (Evidence of the assessor's qualification(s) must be obtained before they assess candidates and held on file for inspection)
- have a written process in place to not only check the qualification(s) of their assessor(s) but that the correct procedures are followed as in Chapter 7 of the JCQ document *Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments*

Access Arrangements Policy

Appendix 3: Access Arrangement Policy

- assist the awarding bodies in the discharge of their duty to make reasonable adjustments by requesting access arrangements, where required, and fully support the SENCo in effectively implementing those arrangements once approved

Malpractice

(GR 5.11)

The centre will:

- take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after assessments have taken place

- inform the awarding immediately of any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration, involving a candidate or a member of staff, by completing the appropriate documentation
- as required by an awarding body, ensures evidence of any instances of alleged or suspected malpractice (which includes maladministration) is gathered in accordance with the current JCQ document *Suspected Malpractice - Policies and Procedures* and provides such information and advice as the awarding body may reasonably require
- (GR 6.2) Ensures any person involved in administering, teaching or completing examinations/assessments is advised that where malpractice is suspected, or alleged, personal data about them will be provided to the awarding body (or bodies) whose examinations/assessments are involved. Personal data about them may also be shared with other awarding bodies, the qualifications regulator or professional bodies in accordance with the JCQ document *Suspected Malpractice – Policies and Procedures*

Personal data

(GR 6.6, 6.8)

It is the responsibility of centres to inform candidates of the processing that the centre undertakes. For example, that the centre will provide relevant personal data including name, date of birth, gender to the awarding bodies for the purpose of examining and awarding qualifications.

Materials which are submitted by candidates for assessment may include any form of written work, audio and visual materials, computer programmes and data (“Student Materials”). Awarding bodies may use the Student Materials to evaluate candidates’ performance in the relevant assessment. They may also use the Student Materials for other purposes as outlined in their privacy policies and in accordance with their terms. Candidates should be directed to the relevant awarding body’s privacy notice if they require further information about how their Student Materials may be used by the awarding body.

Where a centre or third party is in possession of any Student Materials for the purposes of candidate assessment, the Student Materials will be held on behalf of the awarding body.

Exams officer (EO)

- Understands the contents of annually updated JCQ documents including:
 - [General Regulations for Approved Centres](#)
 - [Instructions for conducting examinations](#)
 - [Suspected Malpractice - Policies and Procedures](#)
 - [Post-Results Services \(PRS\)](#)
 - [A guide to the special consideration process](#)
- Completes/submit the National Centre Number Register annual update (administered on behalf of the JCQ member awarding bodies by OCR <https://ocr.org.uk/administration/ncn-annual-update/>) by the end of October every year to confirm the centre’s contact details or informs of any changes ((and follows the process (in GR 5.3) if any changes occur after the annual update has taken place)
- Is familiar with the contents of annually updated information from awarding bodies on administrative procedures, key tasks, key dates and deadlines
- Ensures key tasks are undertaken and key dates and deadlines met
- Recruits, trains and deploys a team of internal/external invigilators; appoints lead invigilators, as may be applicable to the centre and keeps a record of the content of training provided to invigilators for the required period
- Works with the SENCo (or equivalent role) to ensure invigilators supervising access arrangement candidates and those acting as a facilitator supporting access arrangement candidates fully understand the respective role and what is and what is not permissible in the exam room
- Supports the head of centre in ensuring that awarding bodies are informed (where required) of any conflict of interest declared by members of centre staff and in maintaining internal records that confirm the measures taken/protocols in place to mitigate any potential risk to the integrity of the qualifications affected before the published deadline for entries for each examination series

- Briefs other relevant centre staff where they may be involved in the receipt and dispatch of confidential materials on the requirements for maintaining the integrity and security of confidential examination/assessment materials

Senior leaders

- Are familiar with the contents, refer to and direct relevant centre staff to annually updated JCQ documents including:
 - [General Regulations for Approved Centres](#)
 - [Instructions for conducting examinations](#)
 - [Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments](#)
 - [Suspected Malpractice - Policies and Procedures](#)
 - [Instructions for conducting coursework](#)
 - [Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments](#)
 - [A guide to the special consideration process](#)
 - [Post-Results Services](#)
- Ensure teaching staff undertake key tasks, as detailed in this policy, within the exams process (exam cycle) and meet internal deadlines set by the EO and SENCo (or equivalent role)
- Ensure teaching staff keep themselves updated with awarding body subject and teacher-specific information to confirm effective delivery of qualifications
- Ensure teaching staff attend relevant awarding body training and update events

Special educational needs co-ordinator (SENCo) or equivalent role

- Understands the contents, refers to and directs relevant centre staff to annually updated JCQ documents including:
 - [Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments](#)
- Leads on the access arrangements and reasonable adjustments process (referred to in this policy as 'access arrangements')
- If not the qualified access arrangements assessor, works with the person appointed, on all matters relating to assessing candidates and ensures the correct procedures are followed
- Presents when requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector, evidence of the assessor's qualification [\(GR 5.4\)](#)
- Ensures any applications for access arrangements or reasonable adjustments are submitted by the published deadline (The SENCo will hold on file appropriate documentary evidence to substantiate such an arrangement, which is open to inspection. For those qualifications covered by Access Arrangements Online, a JCQ Centre Inspector will sample a centre's applications)
- Ensures a file is presented which must contain for each online application the downloaded approval for the respective arrangement(s), supporting evidence of need and a signed candidate data personal consent form (This information must be readily available for inspection at the venue where the candidate is taking the examination(s))
- Ensures requests for modified papers are submitted by the published deadline
- Ensures there are appropriate resources in place at the time of examinations/assessments to meet candidates' needs, e.g. sufficient readers and scribes

Teaching staff

- Undertake key tasks, as detailed in this policy, within the exams process and meet internal deadlines set by the EO and SENCo (or equivalent role)
- Keep updated with awarding body subject and teacher-specific information to confirm effective delivery of qualifications
- Attend relevant awarding body training and update events

Invigilators

- Attend/undertake training (on the current regulations), update, briefing and review sessions as required
- Provide information as requested on their availability to invigilate
- Sign a confidentiality and security agreement and confirm whether they have any current maladministration/malpractice sanctions applied to them

Reception staff

- Support the EO in the receipt and dispatch of confidential materials and follow the requirements for maintaining the integrity and security of confidential examination/assessment materials

Site staff

- Support the EO in relevant matters relating to exam rooms and resources

Candidates

Where applicable in this policy, the term 'candidates' refers to candidates and/or their parents/carers.

The exam cycle

The exams management and administration process that needs to be undertaken for each **exam series** is referred to as the **exam cycle** and relevant tasks which need to be undertaken before, during and after an exam series grouped into the following stages:

- planning
- entries
- pre-exams
- exam time
- results and post-results

This policy identifies roles and responsibilities of centre staff within this cycle.

Planning: roles and responsibilities

Secure materials

Head of centre

([GR](#) 3.6)

- Ensures the centre has a secure storage facility in a room solely assigned to examinations

([ICE](#) 3.1)

The secure room and the secure storage facility

The secure room

The secure room must only be used for the purpose of administering secure examination materials.

Access to the secure room **must** be restricted to two to six key holders, one of whom **must** be the exams officer. The two to six key holders **must** be permanent members of staff or members of staff who have a formal contract of employment and are subject to standard HR policies and procedures.

The secure storage facility

Access to the secure storage facility **must** be restricted to two to six key holders, one of whom **must** be the exams officer.

The two to six key holders **must** either be part of the exams team or the senior leadership team. A key holder from the exams team **must** be a permanent member of staff or a member of staff who has a formal contract of employment and is subject to standard HR policies and procedures.

When the secure storage facility is being accessed for the storage and preparation of secure assessment materials the door to the secure room **must** be closed.

Information sharing

Head of centre

- Directs relevant centre staff to annually updated JCQ documents including [GR](#), [ICE](#), [AARA](#), [SMPP](#), [ICC](#), [NEA](#) and [SC](#)

Exams officer

- Signposts relevant centre staff to JCQ documents and awarding body documentation relating to the examination/assessment process that have been updated

- Signposts relevant centre staff to JCQ information that must be provided to candidates
- As the centre administrator, approves relevant access rights for centre staff to access awarding body secure extranet sites

Information gathering

Exams officer

- Undertakes an annual information gathering exercise in preparation for each new academic year to ensure data about all qualifications being delivered is up to date and correct
- Collates all information gathered into one central point of reference
- Researches awarding body guidance to identify administrative processes, key tasks, key dates and deadlines for all relevant qualifications
- Produces an annual exams plan of key tasks and key dates to ensure all external deadlines can be effectively met; informs key centre staff of internal deadlines
- (where applicable to the role) Collects information on internal exams/assessments to enable preparation for and conduct of (insert the titles these internal exams/assessments are referred to in the centre)

Senior leaders

- Respond (or ensure teaching staff respond) to requests from the EO on information gathering
- Meet the internal deadline for the return of information
- Inform the EO of any changes to information in a timely manner minimising the risk of late or other penalty fees being incurred by an awarding body
- Note the internal deadlines in the annual exams plan and directs teaching staff to meet these

Access arrangements

Head of centre

- Ensures the centre has documented processes in place relating to access arrangements and reasonable adjustments
- Ensures the SENCo (or equivalent role) is fully supported in effectively implementing access arrangements and reasonable adjustments once approved

SENCo (or equivalent role)

- Assesses candidates (or works with the appropriately qualified assessor as appointed by the head of centre) to identify access arrangements/reasonable adjustments requirements
- Gathers evidence to support the need for access arrangements for a candidate
- Liaises with teaching staff to gather evidence of normal way of working for a candidate
- Determines candidate eligibility for arrangements or adjustments that are centre-delegated
- Gathers signed *Personal data consent* forms from candidates where required and ensures *Data protection confirmation(s)* by the examinations officer or SENCo are completed
- Applies for approval using *Access arrangements online* (AAO) via the Centre Admin Portal (CAP), where required or through the awarding body where qualifications sit outside the scope of AAO
- Keeps a file for each candidate for JCQ inspection purposes containing all the required documentation (if documentation is stored electronically, an e-folder must be created for each individual candidate. The candidate's e-folder must hold each of the required documents for inspection)
- Employs good practice in relation to the Equality Act 2010
- Liaises with the EO regarding exam time arrangements for access arrangement candidates
- Ensures staff appointed to facilitate access arrangements for candidates are thoroughly trained and understand the rules of the particular arrangement(s) and keeps a record of the content of training provided to facilitators for the required period
- Works with the EO to ensure invigilators and those acting as a facilitator fully understand the respective role and what is and what is not permissible in the exam room
- Liaises with the relevant member of the senior leadership team on the centre's policy on the use of word processors in examinations

- Ensures criteria for candidates granted alternative rooming arrangements is clear, meets JCQ regulations and best meets the needs of individual candidates and remaining candidates in main exam rooms

Alternative Rooming Arrangements Policy (Exams)

Appendix 3: Access Arrangement Policy

Appendix 9- Emergency Evacuation Policy

Senior leaders, Teaching staff

- Support the SENCo (or equivalent role) in determining and implementing appropriate access arrangements/reasonable adjustments
- (Senior leader) Provides an annually reviewed and updated word processor policy, specific to the centre, which details the criteria the centre uses to award and allocate word processors for examinations

Internal assessment and endorsements

Head of centre

Controlled assessments, coursework and non-examination assessments

(GR 5.7)

- Ensures that where candidates are taking non-examination assessments, teaching staff check that the tasks and approach being taken are appropriate and in line with ethical standards and the centre's safeguarding responsibilities
- Ensures awarding bodies are notified of a consortium of centres with joint teaching arrangements for qualifications (This will allow the candidates for each specification to be treated as a single group for the moderation of centre-assessed work. This is only required if two or more member centres will be entering candidates for work that is centre-assessed)
- Ensures only current assessment materials/tasks are used to assess candidates' knowledge and skills (in cases where the awarding body provides such material)
- Before submitting marks to the awarding body ensures candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks and allows a candidate to request a review of the centre's marking
- Ensures that all associated administrative tasks are completed in an accurate and timely manner, e.g. marks are correctly calculated, recorded and submitted by the published date (It is the responsibility of the centre to carefully check the marks it is submitting to an awarding body)
- Ensures submission of centre-assessed marks and moderation samples, if required by the awarding body, by the published date (It is the responsibility of the centre to ensure that moderators receive the correct samples of work to review)
- Ensures a written internal appeals procedure relating to internal assessment decisions is in place and ensures that details of this procedure are communicated, made widely available and accessible to all candidates
- Ensures a written policy regarding the management of non-examination assessments, including controlled assessments and coursework is in place
- Ensures that candidates' work is backed-up and considers the contingency of candidates' work being backed-up on two separate devices, including one off-site back-up (Implementing appropriate security arrangements which protect candidates' work in the event of IT system corruption and cyber-attacks)

Senior leaders

- Ensure teaching staff have the necessary and appropriate knowledge, understanding, skills, and training to set tasks, conduct task taking, and to assess, mark and authenticate candidates' work (including where relevant, private candidates)
- Ensure appropriate internal moderation, standardisation and verification processes are in place
- Ensure teaching staff delivering relevant qualifications follow JCQ [Instructions for conducting coursework](#) and the specification provided by the awarding body

- Ensure teaching staff delivering GCE and GCSE specifications (which include components of non-examination assessment) follow JCQ [Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments](#) and the specification provided by the awarding body
- Ensure teaching staff delivering qualifications which include (wholly or in part) units of coursework follow JCQ [Instructions for conducting coursework](#) and the specification provided by the awarding body
- For VTQs qualifications, ensure teaching staff follow appropriate instructions issued by the relevant awarding body
- Ensure teaching staff inform candidates of their centre assessed marks as a candidate may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body

Teaching staff

- Ensure appropriate instructions for conducting internal assessment are followed
- Ensure candidates are aware of JCQ and awarding body information for candidates on producing work that is internally assessed (coursework, non-examination assessments, social media) prior to assessments taking place
- Ensure candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks as a candidate may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body

Exams officer

- Identifies relevant key dates and administrative processes that need to be followed in relation to internal assessment
- Signposts teaching staff to relevant JCQ [Information for candidates documents](#) that are annually updated

Invigilation

Head of centre

- Ensures relevant support is provided to the EO in recruiting, training and deploying a team of invigilators
- Ensures, if contracting supply staff to act as invigilators, that such persons are competent and fully trained, understanding what is and what is not permissible (and not taking on its own an assurance from a recruitment agency, that this is the case)
- Determines if additional invigilators will be deployed in timed Art exams in addition to the subject teacher to ensure the supervision of candidates is maintained at all times
- Ensures that, wherever possible a teacher, a teaching assistant, a tutor or a senior member of centre staff who teaches the subject being examined or a Learning Support Assistant who has supported one or more candidates is not an invigilator during the examination

Exams officer

- Recruits additional invigilators where required to effectively cover all exam periods/series throughout the academic year
- Collects information on new recruits to identify if they have invigilated previously and if any current maladministration/malpractice sanctions are applied to them
- Provides thorough training for new invigilators on the current instructions for conducting examinations and an update for the existing invigilation team so that they are aware of any changes in a new academic year, before they are allocated to invigilate an exam
- Ensures invigilators supervising access arrangement candidates understand their role (and the role of a facilitator who may be supporting a candidate) and the rules and regulations of the access arrangement(s)
- Ensures invigilators are briefed on the access arrangement candidates in their exam room and made aware of the access arrangement(s) awarded (ensuring these candidates are identified on the seating plan) and confirms invigilators understand what is and what is not permissible
- Collects evaluation of training to inform future events

Entries: roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- Ensures the centre's obligations as detailed in the regulations are met. (With reference to [GR 5.4 Entries](#))

Estimated entries

Exams officer

- Requests estimated or early entry information, where this may be required by awarding bodies, from HoDs in a timely manner to ensure awarding body external deadlines for submission can be met

Senior leaders

- Provide entry information requested by the EO to the internal deadline
- Inform the EO immediately of any subsequent changes to entry information

Final entries

Head of centre

- Ensures appropriate controls are in place which allow accurate data to be submitted to the awarding bodies, e.g. entries

Exams officer

- Requests final entry information from HoDs in a timely manner to ensure awarding body external deadlines for submission can be met
- Informs HoDs of subsequent deadlines for making changes to final entry information without charge
- Submits registrations, examination entries and certification claims by the deadline(s) and complies with the requirements of the specification including any terminal rules which need to be met at the point of certification
- Confirms with HoDs final entry information that has been submitted to awarding bodies
- Ensures as far as possible that entry processes minimise the risk of entries or registrations being missed reducing the potential for late or other penalty fees being charged by awarding bodies
- Observes each awarding body's terms and conditions for the entry and withdrawal of candidates for their examinations and assessments, and observes any regulatory requirements for the qualification

Senior leaders

- Provide information requested by the EO to the internal deadline
- Inform the EO immediately, or at the very least prior to the deadlines, of any subsequent changes to final entry information, which includes
 - changes to candidate personal details
 - amendments to existing entries
 - withdrawals of existing entries
- Check final entry submission information provided by the EO and confirms information is correct

Late entries

Exams officer

- Has clear entry procedures in place to minimise the risk of late entries
- Charges any late or other penalty fees to departmental budgets

Senior leaders

- Minimise the risk of late entries by
 - following procedures identified by the EO in relation to making final entries on time
 - meeting internal deadlines identified by the EO for making final entries

Private Candidates Policy

As a school, we will support private candidates where possible and practical. Parents/ carers would be asked to pay the exam entry fee and any additional costs incurred e.g. if additional or individual invigilation is required. We are not able to support private candidates sitting courses which involve NEA as we would be unable to authenticate their work. We would also need to collect evidence as

part of exam resilience measures, for example, requiring private candidates to complete a mock exam. Each request is considered on an individual basis.

Candidate statements of entry

Exams officer

- Provides candidates with statements of entry for checking

Teaching staff

- Ensure candidates check statements of entry and return any relevant confirmation required to the EO

Candidates

- Confirm entry information is correct or notify the EO of any discrepancies

Pre-exams: roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- Ensures the centre's obligations as detailed in the regulations are met. (With reference to [GR 5.8 Candidate information](#))

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

SENCo (or equivalent role)

- Ensures appropriate arrangements, adjustments and adaptations are in place to facilitate access to exams/assessments for candidates where they are disabled within the meaning of the Equality Act (unless a temporary emergency arrangement is required at the time of an exam)
- Ensures a candidate is involved in any decisions about arrangements, adjustments and /or adaptations that may be put in place for him/her
- Ensures exam information (JCQ information for candidates documents, individual exam timetable, etc.) is adapted where this may be required for a candidate to access it
- Allocates appropriately trained centre staff to facilitate access arrangements for candidates in exams and assessments (ensuring that the facilitator appointed meets JCQ requirements and fully understands the rule of the access arrangement)
- Ensures the person appointed to facilitate an access arrangement must not normally be the candidate's own subject teacher, Learning Support Assistant or teaching assistant (Where the candidate's own subject teacher, Learning Support Assistant or teaching assistant is used, a separate invigilator must always be present)
- Where relevant, ensures the necessary and appropriate steps are undertaken to gather an appropriate picture of need and demonstrate normal way of working for a private candidate (including distance learners and home educated candidates) and that the candidate is assessed by the centre's appointed assessor

Briefing candidates

Exams officer

- Issues individual exam timetable information to candidates and informs candidates of any designated contingency sessions awarding bodies may identify in the event of national or significant local disruption to exams
- Prior to exams issues relevant JCQ Information for candidates documents (coursework, non-examination assessments, on-screen tests, social media and written examinations) and awarding body privacy notices
- Where relevant, issues relevant awarding body information to candidates
- Issues centre exam information to candidates including information on:
 - exam timetable clashes
 - arriving late for an exam
 - absence or illness during exams
 - what equipment is/is not provided by the centre

- food and drink in exam rooms
- unauthorised items in exam rooms
- when and how results will be issued and the staff that will be available
- post-results services information and how the centre will deal with requests from candidates
- when and how certificates will be issued

Access to Scripts, Reviews of Results and Appeals Procedures

Information for candidates is shared with students and available on the exams page of the school website: [Exam Notices - Caldew School](#)

Dispatch of exam scripts

Exams officer

- Identifies and confirms arrangements for the dispatch of candidate exam scripts with the DfE (STA) 'yellow label service' or the awarding body where qualifications sit outside the scope of the service

Estimated grades

Senior leaders

- Ensure teaching staff provide estimated grade information to the EO by the internal deadline (where this still may be required by the awarding body)

Exams officer

- Submits estimated grade information to awarding bodies to meet the external deadline (where this may still be required by the awarding body)
- Keeps a record to track what has been sent

Internal assessment and endorsements

Head of centre

- Ensures procedures are in place for candidates to appeal internal assessment decisions and make requests for reviews of marking

SENCo (or equivalent role)

- Liaises with teaching staff to implement appropriate access arrangements for candidates undertaking internal assessments and practical endorsements

Teaching staff

- Support the SENCo in implementing appropriate access arrangements for candidates undertaking internal assessments and practical endorsements
- Assess and authenticate candidates' work
- Assess endorsed components
- Ensure candidates are informed of centre assessed marks prior to marks being submitted to awarding bodies

Senior leaders

- Ensure teaching staff assess and authenticate candidates' work to the awarding body requirements
- Ensure teaching staff assess endorsed components according to awarding body requirements
- Ensure teaching staff provide marks for internally assessed components and grades for endorsements of qualifications to the EO to the internal deadline
- Ensure teaching staff provide required samples of work for moderation and sample recordings for monitoring to the EO to the internal deadline

Exams officer

- Submits marks, endorsement grades and samples to awarding bodies/moderators/monitors to meet the external deadline (or delegates this task to relevant teaching staff)
- Keeps a record to track what has been sent

- Logs moderated samples returned to the centre
- Ensures teaching staff are aware of the requirements in terms of retention and subsequent disposal of candidates' work

Candidates

- Authenticate their work as required by the awarding body

Invigilation

Exams officer

- Provides an annually reviewed/updated invigilator handbook to invigilators, trains new invigilators on the current regulations on appointment and updates the existing invigilation team on any regulation changes and any changes to centre-specific arrangements
- Deploys invigilators effectively to exam rooms throughout an exam series (including the provision of a roving invigilator where a candidate and invigilator (acting as a practical assistant, prompter, reader or scribe) are accommodated on a 1:1 basis to enter the room at regular intervals in order to observe the conducting of the exam, ensure all relevant rules are being adhered to and to support the practical assistant/reader and/or scribe in maintaining the integrity of the exam)
- Allocates invigilators to exam rooms (or where supervising candidates due to a timetable clash) according to the required ratios
- Liaises with the SENCo (or equivalent role) regarding the facilitation and invigilation of access arrangement candidates

SENCo (or equivalent role)

- Liaises with the EO regarding facilitation and invigilation of access arrangement candidates

Invigilators

- Provide information as requested on their availability to invigilate throughout an exam series

JCQ Centre Inspections

Exams officer or Senior leader

- Will accompany the Inspector throughout a visit

SENCo (or equivalent role) or relevant Senior leader (in the absence of the SENCo)

- Will meet with the inspector when requested to provide documentary evidence regarding access arrangement candidates and address any questions the inspector may raise
- Ensures that information is readily available for inspection at the venue where the candidate is taking the exam(s)

Seating and identifying candidates in exam rooms

Exams officer

- Ensures a procedure is in place to verify the identity of all candidates

Candidate Identification Procedure

Student identification cards are placed on each candidate's desk before the exam. This contains their photograph (to support identification), name, candidate number, centre number and tier of entry (where relevant).

Invigilators check the identity of students against their identification cards at the start of the exam and complete the exam register.

The senior leader for exams supports the start of exams where possible and confirms identity.

Private candidates would be asked to provide ID to confirm identity.

If a student needed to remove religious clothing to confirm identity, this would be done privately with two members of staff of the same gender.

- Ensures invigilators are aware of the procedure
- Provides seating plans for exam rooms according to JCQ and awarding body requirements (and ensures candidates with access arrangements are identified on the seating plan and invigilators are informed of those candidates with access arrangements and made aware of the access arrangement(s) awarded)

Invigilators

- Follow the procedure for verifying candidate identity provided by the EO
- Seat candidates in exam rooms as instructed by the EO/on the seating plan

Security of exam materials

Exams officer

- Confirms appropriate arrangements are in place to ensure that confidential materials are only handed over to those authorised by the head of centre
- Ensures access to the secure room is restricted and staff approved by the head of centre are accompanied by a keyholder at all times.
- Has a process in place to demonstrate the receipt, secure movement and secure storage of confidential exam materials within the centre
- Ensures a log is kept at the initial point of delivery recording confidential materials received and signed for by authorised staff within the centre and that appropriate arrangements are in place for confidential materials to be immediately transferred to the secure storage facility until they can be removed from the dispatch packaging and checked in the secure room before being returned to the secure storage facility in timetable order
- Carefully checks question paper packets when they are removed from the dispatch packing and keeps a log of the check
- Ensures the secure storage facility contains only current and live confidential material (ensuring that past examination question papers, internal tests and mock examinations are not kept in the centre's secure storage facility)
- Ensures that examination stationery, e.g. answer booklets and formula booklets are stored in the secure room (attempting to store this material in the secure storage facility, when sufficient space allows)
- Ensures the integrity and security of any electronic question paper materials is maintained during the downloading, printing and collating process (ensuring printing is carried out in a secure environment at the centre to prevent unauthorised personnel accessing live assessment materials and ensuring only authorised members of centre staff have access to electronic question paper materials)

At least two and no more than six members of centre staff should be authorised to handle secure electronic materials, one of whom must be the exams officer. Other members of centre staff may assist with printing and collation provided they are under supervision.

Reception staff

- Follow the process to log confidential materials delivered to/received by the centre to the point materials are issued to authorised staff for transferal to the secure storage facility

Teaching staff

- Adhere to the process to record the secure movement of confidential materials taken from or returned to secure storage throughout the time the material is confidential

Timetabling and rooming

Exams officer

- Produces a master centre exam timetable for each exam series
- Identifies and resolves candidate exam timetable clashes according to the regulations (only applying overnight supervision arrangements as a last resort, once all other options have been exhausted and according to the centre's policy)
- Identifies exam rooms and specialist equipment requirements
- Allocates invigilators to exam rooms (or where supervising candidates due to an exam timetable clash) according to required ratios
- Liaises with site staff to ensure exam rooms are set up according to JCQ and awarding body requirements

- Liaises with the SENCo (or equivalent role) regarding rooming of access arrangement candidates

SENCo (or equivalent role)

- Liaises with the EO regarding rooming of access arrangement candidates
- Liaises with other relevant centre staff to ensure appropriate arrangements, adjustments and adaptations are in place to facilitate access for disabled candidates to exams

Site staff

- Liaise with the EO to ensure exam rooms are set up according to JCQ and awarding body requirements

Alternative site arrangements

Exams officer

- (Where/if applicable to the centre) Ensures question papers will only be taken to an alternative site where the published criteria for an alternative site arrangement has been met
- Will inform the JCQ Centre Inspection Service to timescale by submitting a JCQ Alternative Site form online using CAP (or through the awarding body where a qualification may sit outside the scope of CAP) of any alternative sites that will be used to conduct timetabled examination components of the qualifications listed in the JCQ regulations

Centre consortium arrangements

Exams officer

- (Where/if applicable to the centre) Processes applications for Centre Consortium arrangements using CAP to the awarding body deadline (or through the awarding body where a qualification may sit outside the scope of CAP)

Senior leaders

- (Where/if applicable to the centre) Inform the EO of any joint teaching arrangements in place and where the centre is acting as the consortium co-ordinator

Transferred candidate arrangements

Exams officer

- (Where/if applicable to the centre) Liaises with the host or entering centre, as required
- Processes requests for Transferred Candidate arrangements using CAP to the awarding body deadline (or through the awarding body where a qualification may sit outside the scope of CAP)
- Where relevant (for an internal candidate) informs the candidate of the arrangements that have been made for their transferred candidate arrangement

Internal exams/assessments

Exams officer

- Prepares for the conduct of internal exams/assessments under external conditions (where applicable to the centre)
- Provides a centre exam timetable of subjects and rooms
- Provides seating plans for exam rooms
- Requests internal exam papers from teaching staff
- Arranges invigilation (where applicable to the centre)

SENCo (or equivalent role)

- Liaises with teaching staff to make appropriate arrangements for access arrangement candidates

Teaching staff

- Provide exam papers and materials to the EO
- Support the SENCo in making appropriate arrangements for access arrangement candidates

Exam time: roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- Ensures the centre's obligations as detailed in the regulations are met. (With reference to [GR 5.9 Conducting examinations and assessments](#))

Access arrangements

Exams officer

- Provides cover sheets for access arrangement candidates' scripts where required for particular arrangements
- Has a process in place to deal with emergency/temporary access arrangements as they arise at the time of exam
 - Liaises with the SENCo to apply for approval through AAO where required or through the awarding body where qualifications sit outside the scope of AAO

Candidate absence

Candidate Absence Policy

Any absentees will be immediately flagged with the exams officer.

The pastoral manager for Year 11 will be outside the sports hall, ready to phone home. If necessary, the attendance officer will support this.

At the direction of the senior leader, pastoral or senior staff may be asked to collect a student from their home to ensure that they are under centre supervision.

Any events which affect large numbers of students (e.g. issues with public transport, adverse weather) may need a coordinated response from senior staff, deploying staff to collect students.

Where a student is absent and satisfies grounds for special consideration, evidence will be collected and submitted. Where there are no grounds for special consideration, we will invoice the parent/carer for the entry fee of the missed exam.

We will offer support to pre-empt absence e.g. arranging collection or supporting transport.

Invigilators

- Are informed of the policy/process for dealing with absent candidates through training
- Ensure that confirmed absent candidates are clearly marked as such on the attendance register and seating plan

Candidates

- Are re-charged relevant entry fees for unauthorised absence from exams

Candidate behaviour

See *Irregularities* below.

Candidate belongings

See *Unauthorised items* below.

Candidate late arrival

Exams officer

- Ensures that candidates who arrive very late for an exam are reported to the awarding body by submitting a report on candidate admitted very late to examination room using CAP to timescale
- Warns candidates that their script may not be accepted by the awarding body

Invigilators

- Are informed of the policy/process for dealing with late/very late arrival candidates through training
- Ensure that relevant information is recorded on the exam room incident log

Candidate Late Arrival Policy

We will allow students who arrive late to sit their exam. They will have had to arrive through reception as the site is secure. Reception staff will call the exams officer and the candidate will be collected and taken to the exam room, minimising disruption to other candidates.

Where a student is very late, we will submit this to the exam board, along with any mitigating circumstances and defer to their decision as to whether the candidate's paper will be accepted.

Conducting exams

Head of centre

- Ensures venues used for conducting exams meet the requirements of JCQ and awarding bodies

Exams officer

- Ensures exams are conducted according to JCQ and awarding body instructions
- Uses an *exam day checklist* to ensure each exam session is fully prepared for, unplanned events can be dealt with and associated follow-up is completed

Dispatch of exam scripts

Exams officer

- Dispatches scripts as instructed by JCQ and awarding bodies
- Keeps appropriate records to track dispatch

Exam papers and materials

Exams officer

- Organises exam question papers and associated confidential resources in date order in the secure storage facility
- Attaches erratum notices received to relevant sealed question paper packets
- Collates attendance registers and examiner details in date order
- Regularly checks mail or email inbox for updates from awarding bodies
- In order to avoid potential breaches of security, ensures care is taken to ensure the correct question paper packets are opened by ensuring a member of centre staff, additional to the person removing the papers from secure storage, e.g. an invigilator, checks the day, date, time, subject, unit/component and tier of entry, if appropriate, immediately before a question paper packet is opened
- Ensures this second pair of eyes check is recorded
- Where allowed by the awarding body, only releases exam papers and materials to teaching departments for teaching and learning purposes after the published finishing time of the exam, or until any timetable clash candidates have completed the exam

Exam rooms

Head of centre

- Ensures that internal tests, mock exams, revision or coaching sessions are not conducted in a room 'designated' as an exam room
- Ensures that when a room is 'designated' as an exam room it is not used for any purpose other than conducting external exams
- Ensures only approved centre staff (who have not taught the subject being examined) are present in exam rooms to perform permitted tasks
- Ensures the centre's policy relating to food and drink that may be allowed in exam rooms is clearly communicated to candidates
- Ensures the centre's policy on candidates leaving the exam room temporarily is clearly communicated to candidates

Food and Drink Policy (Exams)

Students are allowed to take clear bottles (without labels) into the exam room. Food is not allowed, unless there are specific medical circumstances e.g. diabetes. Cough sweets are permitted, but must be removed from their packet and set on the desk at the start of the exam.

Leaving the Examination Room Policy

Students are expected to stay in the exam room until the end of the exam. In exceptional circumstances (e.g. illness or urgent need to use the toilet) a student will be accompanied by the exams officer or the senior leader (except in their own subject).

Exams officer

- Ensures exam rooms are set up and conducted as required in the regulations
- Provides invigilators with appropriate resources to effectively conduct exams
- Briefs invigilators on exams to be conducted on a session by session basis (including the arrangements in place for any transferred candidates and access arrangement candidates)
- Ensures sole invigilators have an appropriate means of summoning assistance (if this is a mobile phone, instructs the invigilator that the mobile phone is only allowed to be used for this specific purpose and that it must be kept on silent mode)
- Ensures invigilators understand they must be vigilant and remain aware of incidents or emerging situations, looking out for malpractice or candidates who may be in distress, recording any incidents or issues on the exam room incident log
- Ensures invigilators understand how to deal with candidates who may need to leave the exam room temporarily and how this should be recorded on the exam room incident log
- Provides authorised exam materials which candidates are not expected to provide themselves
- Ensures invigilators and candidates are aware of the emergency evacuation procedure
- Ensures invigilators are aware of arrangements in place for a candidate with a disability who may need assistance if an exam room is evacuated

Senior leaders

- Ensure a documented emergency evacuation procedure for exam rooms is in place
- Ensure arrangements are in place for a candidate with a disability who may need assistance if an exam room is evacuated
- Ensure a procedure is in place in case of an emergency evacuation (lockdown)

Emergency Evacuation Policy (Exams)

Appendix 9- Emergency Evacuation Policy

Lockdown Policy (Exams)

In the event of a lockdown during internal and external examinations, the students' safety remains paramount and will be considered above all examination regulations. All efforts will be made to ensure that the examination remains under strict control. The exam hall will be secured following the usual lockdown protocol. Invigilators should switch radios to channel 3 and await instructions.

Site staff

- Ensure exam rooms are available and set up as requested by the EO
- Ensure grounds or centre maintenance work does not disturb exam candidates in exam rooms
- Ensure fire alarm testing does not take place during exam sessions

Invigilators

- Conduct exams in every exam room according to *JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations* and/or awarding body requirements and as instructed by the centre in training/update and briefing sessions

Candidates

- Are required to follow the instructions given to them in exam rooms by authorised centre staff and invigilators
- Are required to remain in the exam room for the full duration of the exam

Irregularities

Head of centre

- Ensures (as required by an awarding body) any cases of alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration before, during or after examinations/assessments (by centre staff, candidates, invigilators) are investigated and reported to the awarding body **immediately**, by completing the appropriate documentation

Managing Behaviour Policy (Exams)

Appendix 10- Managing Behaviour in an Exam

Senior leaders

- Ensure support is provided for the EO and invigilators when dealing with disruptive candidates in exam rooms
- Ensure that internal disciplinary procedures relating to candidate behaviour are instigated, when appropriate

Exams officer

- Provides an exam room incident log in all exam rooms for recording any incidents or irregularities
- Actions any required follow-up and reports to awarding bodies as soon as practically possible after the exam has taken place

Invigilators

- Record any incidents or irregularities on the exam room incident log (for example, late/very late arrival, candidate or centre staff suspected malpractice, candidate illness or needing to leave the exam room temporarily, disruption or disturbance in the exam room, emergency evacuation)

Malpractice

See *Irregularities* above.

Special consideration

Senior leaders

- Support eligible applications for special consideration by signing appropriate evidence

Exams officer

- Processes eligible applications for special consideration to awarding bodies
- Gathers evidence which may need to be provided by other staff in centre or candidates
- Submits requests to awarding bodies to the external deadline

Special Consideration Policy

Appendix 11- Special Consideration Policy

Candidates

- Provide appropriate evidence to support special consideration applications, where required

Unauthorised items

Arrangements for unauthorised items taken into the exam room

Students are briefed before the exam series on what is allowed into the exam room and procedures are followed during mock exams.

A table will be allocated at the front of the room, away from the candidates for unauthorised items to be left securely. Students are reminded as they enter the room and again during the pre-exam briefing/ instructions.

Invigilators

- Are informed of the arrangements through training

Internal exams/assessments

Exams officer

- Briefs invigilators on conducting internal exams
- Returns candidate scripts to teaching staff for marking

Invigilators

- Conduct internal exams as briefed by the EO

Results and post-results: roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- Ensures the centre's obligations as detailed in the regulations are met. (With reference to [GR 5.12 Results](#), [5.13 Post-results services and appeals](#), [5.14 Certificates](#))

Internal assessment

Senior leaders

- Ensures teaching staff keep candidates' work, whether part of the moderation sample or not, secure and for the required period stated by JCQ and awarding bodies
- Ensures work is returned to candidates after the retention period or disposed of according to the requirements

Managing results day(s)

Senior leaders

- Identify centre staff who will be involved in the main summer results day(s) and their role
- Ensure senior members of staff are accessible to candidates immediately after the publication of results so that results may be discussed and decisions made on the submission of any requests for post-results services and ensure candidates are informed of the periods during which centre staff will be available so that they may plan accordingly

Exams officer

- Works with senior leaders to ensure procedures for managing the main summer results day(s) (a results day programme) are in place

Site staff

- Ensure the centre is open and accessible to centre staff and candidates, as required for the collection of results

Accessing results

Head of centre

- Ensures results are kept entirely confidential and restricted to key members of staff until the official dates and times of release of results to candidates
- Understands that it is not permitted to withhold provisional results from candidates under any circumstances

Exams officer

- Informs candidates in advance of when and how results will be released to them for each exam series

- Accesses results from awarding bodies under restricted release of results, where this is provided by the awarding body
- Resolves any missing or incomplete results with awarding bodies
- Issues statements of results to candidates on issue of results date
- Provides summaries of results for relevant centre staff on issue of results date

Post-results services

Head of centre

- Ensures an **internal appeals procedure** is available where candidates disagree with any centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal
- Ensures that senior members of centre staff are available immediately after the publication of results
- Understands that if the centre has concerns about one of its component/subject cohorts, then requests for reviews of marking should be submitted for all candidates believed to be affected (candidate consent is required as marks and subject grades may be lowered, confirmed or raised)

Exams officer

- Provides information to candidates and staff on the services provided by awarding bodies and the fees charged (see also above **Briefing candidates** and **Access to Scripts, Reviews of Results and Appeals Procedures**)
- Publishes internal deadlines for requesting the services to ensure the external deadlines can be effectively met
- Provides a process to record requests for services and to collect candidate informed consent (**after** the publication of results) and fees where relevant
- Submits requests to awarding bodies to meet the external deadline for the particular service
- Tracks requests to conclusion and informs candidates and relevant centre staff of outcomes
- Updates centre results information, where applicable

Teaching staff

- Meet internal deadlines to request the services and gain relevant candidate informed consent
- Identify the budget to which fees should be charged

Candidates

- Meet internal deadlines to request the services
- Provide informed consent and fees, where relevant

Analysis of results

SIMS Manager & Data Administrator

- Provides analysis of results to appropriate centre staff
- Provides results information to external organisations where required
- Undertakes the DfE School and College Checking Exercises (where applicable to the centre)
<https://check-your-performance-measures-data.education.gov.uk/hc/en-gb>

Certificates

Certificates are provided to centres by awarding bodies after results have been confirmed.

Candidates

- May arrange for certificates to be collected on their behalf by providing the EO with written or email permission/authorisation; authorised persons must provide ID evidence on collection of certificates

Exams review: roles and responsibilities

Exams officer

- Provides SLT with an overview of the exam year, highlighting what went well and what could be developed/improved in terms of exams management and administrative processes within the stages of the exam cycle

- Collects and evaluates feedback from staff, candidates and invigilators to inform an exams review

Senior leaders

- Work with the EO to produce a plan to action any required improvements identified in the review

Retention of records: roles and responsibilities

Exams officer

- Keeps records as required by JCQ and awarding bodies for the required period
- Keeps records as required by the centre's records management policy
- Provides an exams archiving policy that identifies information held, retention period and method of disposal

Appendix 1- Exam Contingency Plan

Purpose of the Plan

This plan examines potential risks and issues that could cause disruption to the exams process at Caldew School. By outlining actions/procedures to be invoked in case of disruption, it is intended to mitigate the impact these disruptions have on our exam process.

Alongside internal processes, this plan is informed by the *Exam system contingency plan: England, Wales and Northern Ireland* which provides guidance in the publication *What schools and colleges and other centres should do if exams or other assessments are seriously disrupted*.

This plan also confirms Caldew School is compliant with the JCQ regulation (section 5.3, *General Regulations for Approved Centres*) that the centre *has in place a written examination contingency plan which covers all aspects of examination administration. This will allow members of the Senior Leadership Team to act immediately in the event of an emergency or staff absence*.

Possible causes of disruption to the exam process

Exam officer extended absence at key points in the exam process (cycle)

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Key tasks required in the management and administration of the exam cycle not undertaken including:

Planning

- ▶ annual data collection exercise not undertaken to collate information on qualifications and awarding body specifications being delivered
- ▶ annual exams plan not produced identifying essential key tasks, key dates and deadlines
- ▶ sufficient invigilators not recruited

Entries

- ▶ awarding bodies not being informed of early/estimated entries which prompts release of early information required by teaching staff
- ▶ candidates not being entered with awarding bodies for external exams/assessment
- ▶ awarding body entry deadlines missed or late or other penalty fees being incurred

Pre-exams

- ▶ invigilators not trained or updated on changes to instructions for conducting exams
- ▶ exam timetabling, rooming allocation; and invigilation schedules not prepared
- ▶ candidates not briefed on exam timetables and awarding body information for candidates
- ▶ exam/assessment materials and candidates' work not stored under required secure conditions
- ▶ internal assessment marks and samples of candidates' work not submitted to awarding bodies/external moderators

Exam time

- ▶ exams/assessments not taken under the conditions prescribed by awarding bodies
- ▶ required reports/requests not submitted to awarding bodies during exam/assessment periods, for example very late arrival, suspected malpractice, special consideration
- ▶ candidates' scripts not dispatched as required for marking to awarding bodies

Results and post-results

- ▶ access to examination results affecting the distribution of results to candidates
- ▶ the facilitation of the post-results services

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ Head teacher's PA will deputise for Exams Officer
- ▶ Exams Officer Line Manager to liaise with invigilation team regarding schedules.
- ▶ Exams Officer Line Manager to liaise with Subject Leaders regarding entries.

1. SENCo extended absence at key points in the exam cycle

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Key tasks required in the management and administration of the access arrangements process within the exam cycle not undertaken including:

Planning

- ▶ candidates not tested/assessed to identify potential access arrangement requirements
- ▶ centre fails to recognise its duties towards disabled candidates as defined under the terms of the Equality Act 2010
- ▶ evidence of need and evidence to support normal way of working not collated

Pre-exams

- ▶ approval for access arrangements not applied for to the awarding body
- ▶ centre-delegated arrangements not put in place
- ▶ modified paper requirements not identified in a timely manner to enable ordering to meet external deadline
- ▶ staff providing support to access arrangement candidates not allocated and trained

Exam time

- ▶ access arrangement candidate support not arranged for exam rooms

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ SENCo to liaise with Exams Officer and Exams Officer Line Manager regarding absence
- ▶ Exams Officer to liaise with examination boards

2. Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Key tasks not undertaken including:

Early/estimated entry information not provided to the exams officer on time; resulting in pre-release information not being received

Final entry information not provided to the exams officer on time; resulting in candidates not being entered for exams/assessments or being entered late/late or other penalty fees being charged by awarding bodies

Non-examination assessment tasks not set/issued/taken by candidates as scheduled

Candidates not being informed of centre assessed marks before marks are submitted to the awarding body and therefore not being able to consider appealing internal assessment decisions and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Internal assessment marks and candidates' work not provided to meet awarding body submission deadlines

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ Exams Officer to communicate with remaining teaching staff/Subject Leaders/deputy Subject Leaders
- ▶ Exams Officer to communicate with Examination Boards for further guidance.

3. Invigilators - lack of appropriately trained invigilators or invigilator absence

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Failure to recruit and train sufficient invigilators to conduct exams

Invigilator shortage on peak exam days

Invigilator absence on the day of an exam

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ Invigilator recruitment and training is done well in advance.
- ▶ Never working to the minimum ratio requirements for invigilators.
- ▶ Examination Officer to cover the role/task.

4. Exam rooms - lack of appropriate rooms or main venues unavailable at short notice

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Exams officer unable to identify sufficient/appropriate rooms during exams timetable planning

Insufficient rooms available on peak exam days

Main exam venues unavailable due to an unexpected incident at exam time

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ Prioritise rooming for examinations

- ▶ Explore alternative local venues (ensure request to relocate has been approved by exam board).

5. Failure of IT systems- including due to potential Cyber Attack

Criteria for implementation of the plan

MIS system failure at final entry deadline

MIS system failure during exams preparation

MIS system failure at results release time

Cyber Attack- any point

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ All deadlines are calendared prior to the deadlines to ensure key dates are not missed
- ▶ Entries are never left to deadline day. Exams Officer sets internal deadline in advance of the exam board deadline day. Exams Officer & SLT are aware of the key results dates in August.
- ▶ Seating plans, attendance register checking and other preparation requiring the use of the MIS system to be actioned 5 working days prior to each exam
- ▶ The Exams Officer, in consultation with SLT, will make the entries from another venue direct to the Awarding Bodies. Results may also be accessed directly from the Awarding Bodies. At all times during the system failure the Exams Officer will liaise with the Awarding Boarding to minimise the disruption.
- ▶ Any cyber-attack will be reported to the NCSC and RPA. The school will contact local law enforcement and Action Fraud. We will also inform the DfE by emailing: sector.securityenquiries@education.gov.uk

6. Emergency evacuation of the exam room (or centre lock down)

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Whole centre evacuation (or lock down) during exam time due to serious incident resulting in exam candidates being unable to start, proceed with or complete their exams

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ SLT to manage all such incidents whilst in communication with Exams Officer to make sure all JCQ requirements are fully met.
- ▶ Exams Officer to prepare invigilators as part of training
- ▶ Contact exam boards for further guidance/advice (See contact numbers on evacuation procedures)
- ▶ See lock down policy and evacuation policy for further information.

7. Disruption of teaching time – centre closed for an extended period

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Centre closed or candidates are unable to attend for an extended period during normal teaching or study supported time, interrupting the provision of normal teaching and learning

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ Head of Centre/SLT to take the necessary action in collaboration with other local schools/organisations in order for teaching and learning to be resumed.

8. Candidates unable to take examinations because of a crisis – centre remains open

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Candidates are unable to attend the examination centre to take examinations as normal

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ Special consideration may be applied for.
- ▶ If students are unable to come into school, home invigilation to be arranged (after arranging with examinations board).

9. Centre unable to open as normal during the exams period

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Centre unable to open as normal for scheduled examinations (including centre being unavailable for examinations owing to an unforeseen emergency)

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ Inform Examinations Board.
- ▶ Consider special considerations.
- ▶ Explore alternative local venues (ensure request to relocate has been approved by exam board).

10. Disruption in the distribution of examination papers

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Disruption to the distribution of examination papers to the centre in advance of examinations

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ Inform the examination board.

11. Disruption to the transportation of completed examination scripts

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Delay in normal collection arrangements for completed examination scripts

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ Exams Officer to secure exam papers in the secure area until the courier collects the papers.
- ▶ Contact the courier/exam board to resolve the issue.

12. Assessment evidence is not available to be marked

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Large scale damage to or destruction of completed examination scripts/assessment evidence before it can be marked

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ Exam Officer to contact Examination Boards.

13. Centre unable to distribute results as normal

Criteria for implementation of the plan

Centre is unable to access or manage the distribution of results to candidates, or to facilitate post-results services

Centre actions to mitigate the impact of the disruption

- ▶ Exam Officer to liaise with Examination Boards.
- ▶ Seek support from another centre.

What schools and colleges and other centres should do if exams or other assessments are seriously disrupted

1. Contingency planning

You should prepare for possible disruption to exams and other assessments as part of your emergency planning and make sure your staff are aware of these plans.

2. Disruption to assessments or exams

In the absence of any instruction from the relevant awarding organisation, the school or college should assume that any exam or timetabled assessment should take place if it is possible for it to do so. This may mean having to locate alternative premises.

If the exam or assessment cannot take place, or if a student misses an exam or loses their assessment due to an emergency or other event outside the control of the school or college, you should discuss alternative arrangements with your awarding organisation.

2.1 The school or college should consider the following steps

Exam planning

1. Review your contingency plan well in advance of each exam series.
2. Ensure that copies of question papers are received and stored under secure conditions.

In the event of disruption

1. Contact the relevant awarding organisation and follow its instructions.
2. Take advice, or follow instructions, from relevant local or national agencies in deciding whether the school or college is able to open.
3. Identify whether the exam can be sat at an alternative venue, in agreement with the relevant awarding organisation.
4. Where accommodation is limited, prioritise students whose progression will be severely delayed if they do not take their exams when planned.
5. Communicate with parents, carers and students regarding any changes to the exam timetable.
6. Advise students, where appropriate, to sit exams in the next available series.

After the exam

1. Consider whether students may be eligible for special consideration.
2. Ensure that scripts are stored under secure conditions.
3. Return scripts to awarding organisations in line with their instructions. Never make alternative arrangements for the transportation of completed exam scripts, unless told to do so by the awarding organisation.

2.2 The awarding organisation should take the following steps

Exam planning

1. Establish, maintain and at all times comply with an up to date written contingency plan.
2. Ensure that the arrangements that are in place with schools and colleges enable them to deliver and award qualifications in accordance with its conditions of recognition.

In the event of disruption

1. Take all reasonable steps to mitigate any negative effect, in relation to its qualifications, arising from any disruption.
2. Provide effective guidance to any of its centres responsible for delivering qualifications on its behalf.
3. Ensure that where an assessment is required to be completed under specified conditions, students complete the assessment under those conditions (other than where any reasonable adjustments or special considerations require alternative conditions).
4. Promptly notify the relevant regulators about any event which could have a negative effect on students, standards or public confidence.

After the exam

Consider any requests for special consideration for affected students. For example, those who may have lost their internally assessed work or whose performance in assessments or exams could have been affected by the disruption.

2.3 When a student misses an exam or is disadvantaged by the disruption

If you feel that the performance of all or some of your students has been negatively affected by the disruption you should ask your awarding organisation about applying for special consideration. The decision about what special consideration is, or is not appropriate, is for awarding organisations to make. Their decisions might be different, for different qualifications and for different subjects. However, we encourage awarding organisations to adopt a consistent approach, including between learners, schools or colleges, and awarding organisations, when dealing with a number of similar cases.

[Ofqual guidance extract taken directly from the **Exam system contingency plan: England, Wales and Northern Ireland - What schools and colleges and other centres should do if exams or other assessments are seriously disrupted**, sections 1 and 2

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/exam-system-contingency-plan-england-wales-and-northern-ireland/what-schools-and-colleges-should-do-if-exams-or-other-assessments-are-seriously-disrupted>]

JCQ

Contingency planning

The qualifications regulators, JCQ and government departments responsible for education have prepared and agreed information for schools and colleges in the event of examinations being seriously disrupted. This jointly agreed information will ensure consistency of response in the event of major disruption to the examinations system affecting significant numbers of candidates.

In addition, awarding bodies have their own well-established contingency plans in place to respond to disruptions. It is important that exams officers who are facing disruption liaise directly with the relevant awarding body/bodies.

Centres should prepare plans for any disruption to examinations as part of their general emergency planning. It is important to ensure that relevant centre staff are familiar with the plan. Consideration should be given as to how these arrangements will be communicated to candidates, parents and staff should disruption to examinations occur.

In the event that the head of centre decides the centre cannot be opened for scheduled examinations, the relevant awarding body must be informed as soon as possible. Awarding bodies will be able to offer advice regarding the alternative arrangements for conducting examinations that may be available and the options for candidates who have not been able to take scheduled examinations.

Further information may be found at:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/exam-system-contingency-plan-england-wales-and-northern-ireland/what-schools-and-colleges-should-do-if-exams-or-other-assessments-are-seriously-disrupted>

[JCQ guidance taken directly from JCQ Instructions for conducting examinations <http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/ice---instructions-for-conducting-examinations>, page iv]

General regulations for approved centres

<http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/general-regulations>

Guidance on alternative site arrangements

<http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/forms>

Guidance on transferred candidate arrangements

<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/entries>

Instructions for conducting examinations

<http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/ice---instructions-for-conducting-examinations>

A guide to the special consideration process

<http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/access-arrangements-and-special-consideration/regulations-and-guidance>

GOV.UK

Emergency planning and response: Severe weather; Exam disruption

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/emergencies-and-severe-weather-schools-and-early-years-settings>

Teaching time lost due to severe weather conditions

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/teaching-time-lost-due-to-severe-weather-conditions>

Dispatch of exam scripts guide: Ensuring the service runs smoothly; Contingency planning

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/dispatch-of-exam-scripts-yellow-label-service>

Statutory guidance on school closures

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/school-organisation-maintained-schools>

Appendix 2 – Disability Policy (specific to exams)

Purpose of the policy

This document is provided as an exams-specific supplement to the *centre-wide accessibility policy/plan* which details how the centre

"recognise its duties towards disabled candidates as defined under the terms of the Equality Act 2010†. This must include a duty to explore and provide access to suitable courses, submit applications for reasonable adjustments and make reasonable adjustments to the service the centre provides to disabled candidates..."

"for any legislation in a relevant jurisdiction other than England and Wales which has an equivalent purpose and effect"

[GR5.4]

This policy details how the centre facilitates access to exams and assessments for disabled candidates, as defined under the terms of the Equality Act 2010, by outlining staff roles and responsibilities in relation to

- ▶ identifying the need for appropriate arrangements, reasonable adjustments and/or adaptations (referred to in this policy as 'access arrangements')
- ▶ requesting access arrangements
- ▶ implementing access arrangements and the conduct of exams
- ▶ good practice in relation to the Equality Act 2010

The Equality Act 2010 definition of disability

A definition is provided on page 4 of the current JCQ publication *Adjustments for candidates with disabilities and learning difficulties Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments*.

Identifying the need for access arrangements

Roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- ▶ Is familiar with the entire contents, refers to and directs relevant centre staff to the annually updated JCQ publications including GR and AA

Senior leaders

- ▶ Are familiar with the entire contents of the annually updated JCQ publications including GR and AA

Special Educational Needs coordinator (SENCo)

- ▶ Has full knowledge and understanding of the contents, refers to and directs relevant centre staff to the annually updated JCQ publication AA
- ▶ Ensures that all assessments carried out and arrangements put in place comply with JCQ and awarding body regulations and guidance.
- ▶ Ensures arrangements put in place for exams/assessments reflect a candidate's normal way of working.
- ▶ Presents when requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector, evidence of the assessor's qualification.
- ▶ Provides information to evidence the normal way of working of a candidate.
- ▶ Completes appropriate documentation as required by the regulations of JCQ and the awarding body.

Teaching staff

- ▶ Inform the SENCo of any support that might be needed by a candidate

Support staff (for example, (Higher Level/ Senior) Teaching Assistants)

- ▶ Ensures arrangements put in place for exams/assessments reflect a candidate's normal way of working.

Assessor of candidates with learning difficulties

(An assessor of candidates with learning difficulties will be an appropriately qualified access arrangements assessor/psychologist/specialist assessor)

- ▶ Has detailed understanding of the current JCQ publication AA

Requesting access arrangements

Roles and responsibilities

Special Educational Needs Coordinator (SENCo)

- ▶ Determines if the arrangements identified for a candidate require prior approval from the awarding body before the arrangements are put in place or if approval is centre-delegated
- ▶ Presents the files when requested by the JCQ Centre Inspector.
- ▶ Ensures where form 8 is required to be completed, the original form is signed by hand and dated as required **prior** to approval being sought and that the original form is provided for processing and inspection purposes
- ▶ Ensures the names of all other assessors, who are assessing candidates studying qualifications as listed on page 2 of AA, are entered into AAO to confirm their status including any professionals working outside the centre
- ▶ Maintains a file for each candidate that will include:
 - ▶ completed JCQ/awarding body application forms and evidence forms
 - ▶ appropriate evidence to support the need for the arrangement where required
 - ▶ appropriate evidence to support normal way of working within the centre
 - ▶ in addition, for those qualifications listed on page 2 of AA (where approval is required), a print out of the AAO approval and a signed data protection notice (which provides candidate consent to their personal details being shared)

Exams officer

- ▶ Is familiar with the entire contents of the annually updated JCQ publication GR and is aware of information contained in AA where this may be relevant to the Exams Officer role
- ▶ Ensures that where approval is required that this is applied for by the awarding body deadline.

Implementing access arrangements and the conduct of exams

Roles and responsibilities

External assessments

These are assessments which are normally set and marked/examined by an awarding body which must be conducted according to awarding body instructions and/or the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations (ICE).

Head of centre

- ▶ Supports the SENCo, the exams officer and other relevant centre staff in ensuring appropriate arrangements, adjustments and adaptations are in place to facilitate access for disabled candidates to exams

Special Educational Needs coordinator (SENCo)

- ▶ Ensures appropriate arrangements, adjustments and adaptations are in place to facilitate access for candidates where they are disabled within the meaning of the Equality Act (unless a temporary emergency arrangement is required at the time of an exam)
- ▶ Appoints appropriate centre staff as facilitators to support candidates (practical assistant, prompter, Oral Language Modifier, reader, scribe or Sign Language Interpreter)
- ▶ Ensures facilitators supporting candidates are appropriately trained and understand the rules of the particular access arrangement(s)
- ▶ Ensures a record of the training given to those facilitating an access arrangement for a candidate under examination conditions is kept and retained on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Exams officer

- ▶ Is familiar with and follows the *Checklist for heads of centre and examination officers – The Equality Act 2010 and conduct of examinations* provided in the current ICE (page 44)

- ▶ Ensures a record of the training given to those facilitating an access arrangement for a candidate under examination conditions is kept and retained on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- ▶ Ensures invigilators supervising access arrangement candidates are trained in their role and understand the invigilation arrangements required for access arrangement candidates as detailed in ICE 7 and 8
- ▶ Ensures facilitators supporting candidates are appropriately trained and understand the rules of the particular access arrangement(s)

Other relevant centre staff

- ▶ Support the SENCo and the exams officer to ensure appropriate arrangements, adjustments and adaptations are in place to facilitate access for disabled candidates to exams

Internal assessments

These are non-examination assessments (NEA) which are normally set by a centre/awarding body, marked and internally verified by the centre and moderated by the awarding body.

“Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as ‘NEA’.”

[ICE]

Special Educational Needs Coordinator (SENCo)

- ▶ Liaises with teaching staff to implement appropriate access arrangements for candidates

Teaching staff

- ▶ Support the SENCo in implementing appropriate access arrangements for candidates

Internal exams

These are exams or tests which are set and marked within the centre; normally a pre-cursor to external assessments.

Special Educational Needs coordinator (SENCo)

- ▶ Liaises with teaching staff to implement appropriate access arrangements for candidates

Teaching staff

- ▶ Support the SENCo in implementing appropriate access arrangements for candidates

Facilitating access - examples

The following information confirms the centre's good practice in relation to the Equality Act 2010 and the conduct of examinations.

On a candidate by candidate basis, consideration is given to

- ▶ adapting assessment arrangements
- ▶ adapting assessment materials
- ▶ the provision of specialist equipment or adaptation of standard equipment
- ▶ adaptation of the physical environment for access purposes

The table provides example arrangements, adjustments and adaptations that are considered to meet the need(s) of a candidate and the actions considered/taken by the centre for the purposes of facilitating access.

Example of candidate need(s)	Arrangements explored	Centre actions
A medical condition which prevents the candidate from taking exams in the centre	Alternative site for the conduct of examinations Supervised rest breaks	<i>SENCo gathers evidence to support the need for the candidate to take exams at home</i> <i>Progress Leader provides written statement for file to confirm the need</i> <i>Approval confirmed by SENCo; AAO approval for both arrangements not required</i> <i>Progress Leader has discussion with candidate to confirm the arrangements should be put in place</i>

		<p><i>Exams Officer submits appropriate 'Alternative site for the conduct of exams form'</i></p> <p><i>Exams Officer provides candidate with exam timetable and JCQ information for candidates</i></p> <p><i>Progress Leader confirms with candidate the information is understood</i></p> <p><i>Progress Leader agrees with candidate that prior to each exam will call to confirm fitness to take exam</i></p> <p><i>Exams Officer allocates invigilator(s) to candidate's timetable; confirms time of collection of exam papers and materials</i></p> <p><i>Invigilator monitors candidate's condition for each exam and records any issues on incident log</i></p> <p><i>Invigilator records rest breaks (time and duration) on incident log and confirms set time given for exam</i></p> <p><i>Invigilator briefs Exams Officer after each exam on how candidate's performance in exam may have been affected by his/her condition</i></p> <p><i>Exams Officer discusses with Progress Leader if candidate is eligible for special consideration (candidate present but disadvantaged)</i></p> <p><i>Exams Officer processes request(s) for special consideration where applicable; incident log(s) provides supporting evidence</i></p> <p><i>Progress Leader informs candidate that special consideration has been requested</i></p>
Persistent and significant difficulties in accessing written text	Reader/computer reader 25% Extra time Separate invigilation within the centre	<p><i>Confirms candidate is disabled within the meaning of the Equality Act 2010</i></p> <p><i>Papers checked for those testing reading</i></p> <p><i>Computer reader/examination reading pen sourced for use in papers (or sections of papers) testing reading OR up to 50% extra time awarded</i></p> <p><i>Original Form 8, signed by hand and dated, with Sections A, B and C completed; kept on file with body of supporting evidence, printed approval from AAO and signed data protection notice</i></p>
Significant difficulty in concentrating	Prompter Separate invigilation within the centre	<p><i>Gathers evidence to support substantial and long term adverse impairment</i></p> <p><i>Confirms with candidate how and when they will be prompted</i></p> <p><i>Briefs invigilator to monitor candidate and the method of prompting (call out his name to bring his attention back to the paper - confirms requirement for separate room)</i></p>
A wheelchair user	Desk Rooms Facilities Seating arrangements Practical assistant	<p><i>Applies for practical assistant to help candidate set up wheelchair and other equipment in a practical assessment; approval automatically fails so awarding body referral lists the tasks that will be performed</i></p> <p><i>Provides height adjustable desk in exam room</i></p> <p><i>Allocates exam room on ground floor near adapted bathroom facilities</i></p> <p><i>Spaces desks to allow wheelchair access</i></p> <p><i>Seats candidate near exam room door</i></p> <p><i>Confirms arrangements in place to assist the candidate in case of emergency evacuation of the exam room</i></p> <p><i>Practical assistant cover sheet printed from AAO; to be completed by facilitator and inserted inside the candidate's work where this may be applicable to the assessment</i></p>

Appendix 3 – Access Arrangement Policy

What are access arrangements and reasonable adjustments?

Access arrangements

“Access arrangements are agreed before an assessment. They allow candidates with special educational needs, disabilities or temporary injuries to access the assessment and show what they know and can do without changing the demands of the assessment. The intention behind an access arrangement is to meet the particular needs of an individual candidate without affecting the integrity of the assessment. Access arrangements are the principal way in which awarding bodies comply with the duty under the Equality Act 2010* to make ‘reasonable adjustments’.”

Reasonable adjustments

“The Equality Act 2010 requires an Awarding Body to make reasonable adjustments where a disabled person would be at a substantial disadvantage in undertaking an assessment.

A reasonable adjustment for a particular person may be unique to that individual and may not be included in the list of available Access Arrangements.

How reasonable the adjustment is will depend on a number of factors including the needs of the disabled candidate/learner. An adjustment may not be considered reasonable if it involves unreasonable costs, timeframes or affects the security or integrity of the assessment.

There is no duty on the Awarding Bodies to make any adjustment to the assessment objectives being tested in an assessment.”

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy is to confirm that Caldew School complies with its “...obligation to identify the need for, request and implement access arrangements...”

The policy is annually reviewed to ensure that arrangements are carried out in accordance with the current edition of the JCQ publication *Adjustments for candidates with disabilities and learning difficulties Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments*.

Disability policy (exams)

A large part of the access arrangements policy is covered in the Disability policy (exams) (see [Appendix 2](#)) which covers staff roles and responsibilities in identifying the need for, requesting and implementing access arrangements and the conduct of exams. The access arrangements policy further covers the assessment process and related issues in more detail.

The assessment process

Assessments are carried out by an assessor(s) appointed by the head of centre. The assessor(s) is (are) appropriately qualified as required by JCQ regulations.

The qualification(s) of the current assessor(s)

A Specialist Assessor (Lucy Walker) has undertaken Level 7 training on access arrangements as required by JCQ and carried out our assessments. She is no longer available so our SENCo is currently completing the relevant qualification to allow her to be our specialist assessor from summer 2025. Current year groups have already been assessed for qualifications in 2024-2025. If any circumstances arise where we need to assess a student e.g. new student, we will broker support from a specialist assessor from another school.

Checking the qualification(s) of the assessor(s)

A copy of the qualification(s) is given to the SENCO and are held on file by the Examination Officer.

How the assessment process is administered

Exam Access

All Year 7 & 8 exams take place in classrooms, allowing teachers to support as appropriate and necessary.

From Year 9 to 13 – identified students are formally assessed by the specialist assessor. Please see PATOSS certificate (Held by Exams Officer). The Exams Officer follows the JCQ Exam Access Guidelines. Students with Exam Access are listed on the SEN Register

Recording evidence of need

The specialist assessor maintains a file of evidence for each student requiring access arrangements.

Gathering evidence to demonstrate normal way of working

Arrangement(s) put in place which reflect the support given to the candidate in the centre:

- in the classroom (where appropriate);
- working in small groups for reading and/or writing;
- literacy support lessons;
- literacy intervention strategies;
- in internal school tests/examinations;
- mock examinations.

This is commonly referred to as ‘normal way of working’. For candidates with learning difficulties this is typically the background information recorded within Section A of Form 8 by the SENCo or the assessor working within the centre.

The only exception to this is where an arrangement is put in place as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment .

Processing access arrangements

Arrangements requiring awarding body approval

Access arrangements online (AAO) is a tool provided by JCQ member awarding bodies for centres to apply for required access arrangement approval for the qualifications. This tool also provides Caldew School with the option of ordering modified papers for those qualifications listed.

AAO is accessed by logging in to any of the awarding body secure extranet sites. A single application is required for each candidate regardless of the awarding body used.

Centre-delegated access arrangements

Candidates who require just extra time go into the main exam room, where they are allocated specific seats so they can carry on without disturbance.

Separate invigilation within the centre

Candidates who require Separate Invigilation need evidence in the form of Medical and/or Outside Agency documentation approved by SENCo/Exam Officer, which also shows that that this is normal practice during exam periods.

Appendix 4- Use of Word Processors in Exams

The use of word processors in relation to exams needs to be granted on the basis of need, rather than preference.

Students will be considered to have use of a word processor if they have the following:

- Totally illegible handwriting
- A physical disability which affects handwriting
- A medical condition which affects handwriting
- A sensory impairment
- A learning difficulty which has a substantial and long term adverse effect on their ability to write legibly

Cases must be referred to the teacher in charge of exam access arrangement. Examples of handwriting difficulties must be provided and will be assessed as well as evidence from staff.

It is important that there is genuine ‘need’ for use of a word processor. This has to be recorded and presented to the inspecting body if requested.

Appendix 5 – Complaints and appeals procedure

Purpose of the procedure

This procedure confirms Caldey School's compliance with JCQ's *General Regulations for Approved Centres 2017-2018*, section 5.7 that the centre has in place "...a written complaints and appeals procedure which will cover general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification."

Grounds for complaint

A candidate (or his/her/parent/carer) may make a complaint on the grounds below (this is not an exhaustive list).

Teaching and learning

- ▶ Quality of teaching and learning, for example
 - ▶ Non-subject specialist teacher without adequate training/subject matter expertise utilised on a long-term basis
 - ▶ Teacher lacking knowledge of new specification/incorrect core content studied/taught
 - ▶ Core content not adequately covered
 - ▶ Inadequate feedback for a candidate following assessment(s)
- ▶ Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an exam candidate
- ▶ The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted according to the JCQ/awarding body instructions
- ▶ The marking of an internal assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not undertaken according to the requirements of the awarding body (complainant should refer to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- ▶ Centre fails to adhere to its *internal appeals procedure*
- ▶ Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- ▶ Candidate not informed of his/her centre assessed marks in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- ▶ Candidate not given sufficient time to review materials to make a decision whether to request a review of centre assessed marks

Access arrangements

- ▶ Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor
- ▶ Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding his/her access arrangements
- ▶ Candidate did not consent to personal data being shared electronically (by the non-acquisition of a signed Data Protection Notice)
- ▶ Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangements in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangements would not apply
- ▶ Exam information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it
- ▶ Adapted equipment put in place failed during exam/assessment
- ▶ Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment
- ▶ Appropriate arrangements not put in place at the time of an exam/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment

Entries

- ▶ Failure to clearly explain a decision of early entry for a qualification to candidate (or parent/carer)
- ▶ Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a required exam/assessment
- ▶ Candidate entered for a wrong exam/assessment
- ▶ Candidate entered for a wrong tier of entry

Conducting examinations

- ▶ Failure to adequately brief candidate on exam timetable/exam regulations prior to exam/assessment taking place
- ▶ Room in which exam held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the exam
- ▶ Inadequate invigilation in exam room
- ▶ Failure to conduct exam according to the regulations
- ▶ Online system failed during (online) exam/assessment
- ▶ Disruption during exam/assessment
- ▶ Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported
- ▶ Eligible application for special consideration for a candidate not submitted/not submitted to timescale
- ▶ Failure to inform/update candidate on the outcome of a special consideration application

Results and Post-results

- ▶ Before exams, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the accessibility of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results
- ▶ Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make decision on the submission of an enquiry
- ▶ Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations
- ▶ Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via exams officer to awarding body *post-results services*)
- ▶ Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer via head teacher to the centre's *internal appeals procedure*)
- ▶ Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong exam paper for a candidate
- ▶ Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service
- ▶ Centre applied for a post-results service for candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission

Complaints and appeals procedure

If a candidate (or his/her parent/carer) has a general concern or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification he/she is following, the school's general complaints procedure should be followed.

Appendix 6- Malpractice

Caldew School has in place a written malpractice policy which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre and details how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body (GR 5.3)

In accordance with the regulations Caldew School will:

- ▶ Take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after examinations have taken place (GR 5.11)
- ▶ Inform the awarding body immediately of any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration, involving a candidate or a member of staff, by completing the appropriate documentation (GR 5.11)
- ▶ As required by an awarding body, gather evidence of any instances of alleged or suspected malpractice (which includes maladministration) in accordance with the JCQ publication Suspected malpractice - Policies and procedures and provide such information and advice as the awarding body may reasonably require (GR 5.11)

Preventing Malpractice:

- ▶ All staff involved in the delivery of assessment and exams will understand the relevant JCQ documents to ensure that assessment and exams are conducted according to the regulations
- ▶ All teaching staff will be briefed annually on our school expectations around fairness and conduct of exams and assessment. This is included in new staff induction.
- ▶ All students in Key Stage 4 and Key Stage 5 are briefed on regulations around exams and assessment at the start of each academic year and before mock and external exam series. This includes examples of malpractice- including the misuse of AI tools, possible sanctions and consequences.
- ▶ Students receive information as part of their exam notices: [Exam Notices - Caldew School](#)

Reporting & Investigating Malpractice:

- ▶ Any instance of suspected malpractice is reported to the exams officer or senior leader immediately
- ▶ The exams officer will report this to the senior leader
- ▶ If the concern relates to the exams officer or senior leader, it should be reported to the head of centre
- ▶ The senior leader, under the direction of the head of centre, will follow JCQ procedures to report and investigate suspected malpractice, submitting relevant forms, conducting any necessary investigations and communicating with those involved

Appendix 7 – Controlled Assessment Policy

Caldew School is committed to ensuring that whenever its staff assesses students' work for external qualification, this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the specification for the qualification concerned. Assessments should be conducted by staff that has appropriate knowledge, understanding and skills. Students' work should be produced and authenticated according to the requirements of the examination board. Where a set of work is divided between staff, consistency should be assured by internal moderation and standardisation. If students believe that this may not have happened in relation to his/her work, he/she may make use of the appeals procedure. **Note that appeals may only be made against the process that led to the assessment and not against the mark or grade.**

1. It is the responsibility of each Subject Leader to obtain the Controlled Assessment task details from the exam boards.
2. The Subject Leader should in discussion with their SLT link choose the most appropriate time for the controlled assessment to take place. This date should be checked against the school calendar and the Assistant Head with the overview of examination purposes and processes should be informed. The Examinations Officer should also be made aware.
3. The Controlled Assessment may take place during timetabled class time. If extra time is required beyond normal lesson time, then this should be discussed with the Assistant Head with the overview of examination purposes and processes.
4. Departments must plan when and how the assessment will take place, taking into account the accommodation and resources required. The Examinations Officer should be notified when high level controlled assessment is taking place.
5. Relevant display materials must be removed or covered up.
6. All staff must be aware of the relevant level of control permitted (high, medium or low) as this will determine the level of permitted supervision e.g. high control means that students are under exam conditions.
7. All assessment materials must be locked in a suitable secure cabinet at the end of each session.
8. Separate user accounts for exam use must be used for high control level work. These must have no access to internet or e-mail and must only be accessible during the controlled sessions. If work is saved on memory sticks these must be collected in after each session and locked away as in 7 above.
9. If a student is absent, the teacher must allow that student the chance to make up the time if necessary.
10. For long absences, special consideration should be applied for.
11. Entries for Controlled Assessment must be made at the appropriate time.
12. Attendance records from assessment sessions should be kept by the class teacher.
13. Work may be handwritten in black ink or word processed. Printouts, charts and videos can be included where appropriate.
14. Where the specification permits students to work with others, e.g. during collection of data, any descriptions of the joint work must be in each candidate's own words.
15. Where work is assessed by the teacher and externally moderated by the exam board, standardisation of marking must take place in the school if more than one teacher is involved in the assessing.
16. If suspected malpractice occurs, the Examinations Officer must be informed.
17. If a student's work is lost within the school, this must be reported to the exam board.
18. Authentication forms must be signed by the teachers and candidates.
19. Access arrangements do apply to Controlled Assessment.
20. The assessment marks must be submitted to the exam board by the appropriate date.
21. Candidates' work must be securely stored as in 7 and 8 above until all results have been verified.

22. Re-sits of Controlled Assessment may be allowed in the next exam session.
23. After the results are published, it may be possible to request a re-moderation of the work.

Appendix 8 – Non-examination Assessment Policy

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of reformed GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

“The regulator’s definition of an examination is very narrow and in effect any type of assessment that is not ‘externally set and taken by candidates at the same time under controlled conditions’ is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA). ‘NEA’ therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as ‘NEA’.”

[ICE]

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to

- ▶ *cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments*
- ▶ *define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments*
- ▶ *manage risks associated with non-examination assessments*

[NEA 1]

What are non-examination assessments?

“Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers. There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- *task setting;*
- *task taking;*
- *task marking.”*

[NEA 1]

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures that the centre’s *non-examination assessment policy* is fit for purpose
- ▶ Ensures the centre’s *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre’s marking

Senior leaders

- ▶ Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with NEA and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- ▶ Where applicable, liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit for GCSE (9-1) Computer Science

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- ▶ Confirms with Subject Leaders that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- ▶ Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- ▶ Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- ▶ Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- ▶ Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject Leaders

- ▶ Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- ▶ Ensures NEA and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- ▶ Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers
- ▶ Understands the arrangements that the centre needs to put in place where the centre might accept private candidates (including distance learners and home educated students) for components of non-examination assessment

Subject teacher

- ▶ Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- ▶ Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- ▶ Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- ▶ Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries

Exams officer

- ▶ Signposts the annually updated JCQ publication *Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments* to relevant centre staff
- ▶ Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Task setting

Subject teacher

- ▶ Selects tasks from a choice provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- ▶ Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- ▶ Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- ▶ Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- ▶ Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- ▶ Ensures requirements for legacy specification tasks and new specification tasks are distinguished between

Task taking

Supervision

Subject teacher

- ▶ Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- ▶ Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- ▶ Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- ▶ Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution
- ▶ Ensures candidates are aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and Information for candidates - Social Media
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ documents Information for candidates

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- ▶ As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- ▶ When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- ▶ Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level if allowed.

- ▶ Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- ▶ Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher

- ▶ Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources when planning and researching their tasks
- ▶ Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- ▶ Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce improved notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- ▶ Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Word and time limits

Subject teacher

- ▶ Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- ▶ Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- ▶ Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- ▶ Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- ▶ Assesses the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- ▶ Where required by the awarding body's specification
 - ▶ ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - ▶ signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- ▶ Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for enquiries about results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- ▶ Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- ▶ Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice information in NEA and informs the exams officer

Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- ▶ Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- ▶ Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in NEA unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- ▶ Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- ▶ When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- ▶ When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- ▶ Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- ▶ Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- ▶ Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until the closing date for enquiries about results or until the outcome of an enquiry or any subsequent appeal has been conveyed to the centre

- ▶ Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means.

Task marking – externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- ▶ Liaises with the exams officer regarding arrangements for the conduct of any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- ▶ Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams officer

- ▶ Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- ▶ Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body
- ▶ Conducts the externally assessed component according to the JCQ publication *Instructions for conducting examinations*

Submission of work

Exams officer

- ▶ Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where the component may be assessed by a Visiting Examiner
- ▶ Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly to show candidates who are present and any who may be absent
- ▶ Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner, ensures the completed attendance register accompanies the work
- ▶ Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for enquiries about results for the exam series
- ▶ Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- ▶ Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Subject teacher

- ▶ Provides the attendance register to a Visiting Examiner

Task marking – internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Subject teacher

- ▶ Attends awarding body training as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- ▶ Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- ▶ Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- ▶ Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- ▶ Ensures candidates are informed to the timescale indicated in the centre's *internal appeals procedure* to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- ▶ Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- ▶ Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)
- ▶ Ensures accurate internal standardisation - for example by
 - ▶ making final adjustments to marks prior to submission
 - ▶ retaining work and evidence of standardisation

Subject teacher

- ▶ after most marking has been completed, holds a standardisation meeting to make final adjustments
- ▶ Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking

- ▶ Marks to common standards

Subject Leaders

- ▶ Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. NQTs, supply staff etc.)

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject teacher

- ▶ Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- ▶ Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Exams officer

- ▶ Inputs and submits marks online via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted to the external deadline/Confirms with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- ▶ Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- ▶ Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- ▶ Ensures that for postal moderation
 - ▶ work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - ▶ moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - ▶ proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- ▶ Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- ▶ Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- ▶ Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions until after the deadline for enquiries about results
- ▶ Takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- ▶ Retains evidence of work where retention may be a problem (for example, photos of artefacts etc.)

Exams officer

- ▶ Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation - feedback

Subject Leaders

- ▶ Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next examination series

Exams officer

- ▶ Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- ▶ Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements

Subject teacher

- ▶ Works with the SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Special Educational Needs Coordinator (SENCo)

- ▶ Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication *Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments*
- ▶ Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- ▶ Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- ▶ Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- ▶ Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration

Subject teacher

- ▶ Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate
 - ▶ is absent
 - ▶ produces a reduced quantity of work
 - ▶ work has been lost
- ▶ Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments

Exams officer

- ▶ Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication *A guide to the special consideration process*
- ▶ Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- ▶ Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- ▶ Keeps required evidence on file to support the application

Malpractice

Head of centre

- ▶ Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates, teachers, invigilators or other administrative staff
- ▶ Is familiar with the JCQ publication *Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures*
- ▶ Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject teacher

- ▶ Is aware of the JCQ *Notice to Centres - Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work*
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document *Information for candidates - non-examination assessments*
- ▶ Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document *Information for candidates - Social Media*
- ▶ Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exams officer

- ▶ Signposts the JCQ publication *Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments: Policies and Procedures* to the head of centre
- ▶ Signposts the JCQ *Notice to Centres - Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work* to subject heads
- ▶ Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates documents
- ▶ Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

Enquiries about results

Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedures* clearly detail the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

Subject Leaders

- ▶ Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about enquiries about results

Subject teacher

- ▶ Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- ▶ Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for an enquiry about results to the internal deadline
- ▶ Supports the exams officer in collecting candidate consent where required

Exams officer

- ▶ Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication *Post Results Services (Information and guidance to centres...)*
- ▶ Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- ▶ Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline
- ▶ Collects candidate consent where required

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

- ▶ Provides a signed declaration as part of the National Centre Number Register Annual Update, that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Subject Leaders

- ▶ Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments
- ▶ Confirms understanding of the *Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England*
- ▶ Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- ▶ Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- ▶ Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher

- ▶ Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- ▶ Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- ▶ Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- ▶ Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- ▶ Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams officer

- ▶ Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and the storage and submission of recordings

Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	<i>Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course</i> <i>IT systems checked prior to key date</i> <i>Alternative IT system used to gain access</i> <i>Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details</i>	Exams Officer and IT Manager

Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	<p><i>Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc.</i></p> <p><i>Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification</i></p> <p><i>Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task</i></p>	Subject Leader
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	<p><i>A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates</i></p> <p><i>Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria</i></p> <p><i>Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria</i></p>	Subject Teacher checked by Subject Leader
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	<p><i>See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle</i></p>	Subject Leader to liaise with SLT
Issuing of tasks		
Task for legacy specification given to candidates undertaking new specification	<p><i>Ensures subject teachers take care to distinguish between requirements/tasks for legacy specifications and requirements/tasks for new specifications</i></p> <p><i>Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved</i></p>	Subject Leader
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	<p><i>Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course</i></p> <p><i>Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by</i></p> <p><i>Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching</i></p>	Exams Officer and Subject Leader
The wrong task is given to candidates	<p><i>Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates</i></p> <p><i>Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved</i></p>	Subject Leader
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	<p><i>See centre's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle</i></p>	Subject Leader /SLT
Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	<p><i>Assessment plan identified for the start of the course</i></p> <p><i>Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar</i></p>	Exams Officer and Subject Leader
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	<p><i>Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course</i></p> <p><i>Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates</i></p> <p><i>Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)</i></p>	Exams Officer or Subject Leader
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	<p><i>Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates</i></p>	Subject Leader

	<i>Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy</i>	
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	<i>Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed</i> <i>An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed</i>	Head of Centre after being made aware by Subject Leader
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	<i>Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate</i>	SENCO and Exams officer
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	<i>Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures</i> <i>Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity</i> <i>Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component</i> <i>Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work</i>	Subject Leader
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	<i>Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures</i> <i>Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity</i> <i>Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component</i> <i>Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage</i>	Subject Leader
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	<i>An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant</i> <i>Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given</i> <i>Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body</i>	Head of Centre
Candidate does not reference information from published source	<i>Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment</i> <i>Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments</i> <i>Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion</i>	Subject Teacher to inform Subject Leader and Exams Officer
Candidate does not set out references as required	<i>Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment</i> <i>Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments</i>	Subject Teacher

	<i>Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion</i>	
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	<i>A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up</i>	Subject Teacher
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	<i>Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place</i>	Exams Officer
An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s)	<i>The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate</i>	Exams Officer
Resources		
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	<i>Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions</i>	Exams Officer and invigilator
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	<i>Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate</i>	Subject Teacher
Word and time limits		
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	<i>Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood</i>	Subject Teacher
Collaboration and group work		
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	<i>Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved</i>	Subject Teacher
Authentication procedures		
A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment	<i>Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates' work</i>	Subject teacher / Subject Leader and Exams Officer to inform Head of Centre
Candidate plagiarises other material	<i>Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments</i>	

	<i>The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body</i>	
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration	<i>Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document <i>Information for candidates: non-examination assessments</i> Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document <i>Information for candidates: non-examination assessments</i> Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment</i>	Subject Teacher
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	<i>Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures</i>	Subject Leader
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment	<i>Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment</i>	Subject Teacher
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	<i>Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication <i>Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments</i> Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage</i>	Subject Teacher
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	<i>Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required</i>	Subject Leader
Task marking – externally assessed components		
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	<i>Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate</i>	Exams Officer
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	<i>The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register</i>	Exams Officer
Task marking – internally assessed components		
A candidate submits little or no work	<i>Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body</i>	Subject Teacher
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	<i>Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication <i>A guide to the special consideration process (section 5)</i>, to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work</i>	Subject Teacher to raise with Exams Officer
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	<i>Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication <i>A guide to the special consideration process (section 5)</i>, to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work</i>	Subject Teacher informs Exams Officer

Candidate malpractice is discovered	<p><i>Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed</i></p> <p><i>Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and Assessments are followed</i></p> <p><i>Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed</i></p>	Head of Centre and Exams Officer
A teacher marks the work of his/her own child	<p><i>A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course</i></p> <p><i>Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not</i></p>	Head of Centre
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	<p><i>Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted</i></p> <p><i>Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension</i></p>	Subject Leader to inform Exams Officer
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	<p><i>Awarding body is contacted for guidance</i></p> <p><i>Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates</i></p>	Head of Centre
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	<p><i>Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body</i></p> <p><i>Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks</i></p> <p><i>Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process</i></p> <p><i>Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks</i></p> <p><i>Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body</i></p>	Exams Officer
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	<p><i>Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course</i></p> <p><i>Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood</i></p> <p><i>Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met</i></p> <p><i>Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate</i></p>	Subject Leader
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	<p><i>Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year</i></p> <p><i>Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach</i></p> <p><i>Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers</i></p>	Head of Centre and Exams Officer

	<i>Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed</i>	
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	<i>See centre's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle)</i>	Subject Leader

Appendix 9 – Emergency Evacuation Policy

Purpose of the policy

This policy details how Caldew School deals with an emergency evacuation of the exam room(s) by defining staff roles and responsibilities and confirming the emergency evacuation procedure.

When is an emergency evacuation required?

An emergency evacuation is required where it is unsafe for candidates to remain in the exam room. This might include a fire in the exam room, the fire alarm sounding to warn of fire, bomb alert or other serious threat.

In exceptional situations, where candidates might be severely disadvantaged or distressed by remaining in the exam room, the emergency evacuation procedure may also need to be followed. This might include situations where there is severe disruption in the exam room, serious illness of a candidate or invigilator or similarly serious incidents.

Emergency evacuation of an exam room

Roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures the emergency evacuation policy for exams is fit for purpose and complies with relevant health and safety regulation

Senior leader

- ▶ Where responsible for the centre-wide emergency evacuation procedure, ensures all staff and appointed fire marshals are aware of the policy and procedures to be followed when an emergency evacuation of an exam room is required

Special Educational Needs Coordinator (SENCo)

- ▶ Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place for the emergency evacuation of a disabled candidate from an exam room where different procedures or assistance may need to be provided for the candidate
- ▶ Ensures the candidate is informed prior to taking their exams of what will happen in the event of an emergency evacuation

Exams officer

- ▶ Ensures invigilators are trained in emergency evacuation procedures and how an incident and actions taken must be recorded
- ▶ Ensures candidates are briefed prior to exams taking place, on what will happen in the event of an emergency in the exam room
- ▶ Provides invigilators with a copy of the emergency evacuation procedures for every exam room
- ▶ Provides a standard invigilator announcement for each exam which includes appropriate information for candidates regarding what will happen if the fire alarm sounds
- ▶ Provides an exam room incident log in each exam room
- ▶ Liaises with the SENCo and other relevant staff prior to each exam where different procedures or assistance may need to be provided for a disabled candidate
- ▶ Briefs invigilators prior to each exam where different procedures or assistance may need to be provided for a disabled candidate
- ▶ Ensures appropriate follow-up is undertaken after an emergency evacuation reporting the incident to the awarding body and the actions taken through the *special consideration* process

Invigilators

- ▶ By attending training, ensure they understand what to do in the event of an emergency in the exam room
- ▶ Follow the actions required in the emergency evacuation procedure issued to them for every exam room
- ▶ Confirm with the exams officer, where different procedures or assistance may need to be provided for a disabled candidate they are invigilating

Other relevant centre staff

- Support the senior leader, SENCo, exams officer and invigilators in ensuring the safe emergency evacuation of exam rooms

Emergency evacuation procedure

Emergency evacuation procedure	
Actions to be taken (as detailed in the current JCQ <i>Instructions for conducting examinations section 18, Emergencies</i>)	
Stop the candidates from writing	
Collect the attendance register (in order to ensure all candidates are present)	
Evacuate the examination room in line with the instructions given by the appropriate authority	
Advise candidates to leave all question papers and scripts in the examination room	
Candidates should leave the room in silence	
Make sure that the candidates are supervised as closely as possible while they are out of the examination room to make sure there is no discussion about the examination	
Make a note of the time of the interruption and how long it lasted.	
Allow the candidates the full working time set for the examination.	
If there are only a few candidates, consider the possibility of taking the candidates (with question papers and scripts) to another place to finish the examination	
Make a full report of the incident and of the action taken, and send to the relevant awarding body	
Additional centre-specific actions to be taken	
If practical, for a very small exam, exam papers can be collected and brought out. If not practical, they remain on the desks.	
Candidates should assemble on the yard, where they will be kept together as a group. Their presence will be checked against the attendance register/ seating plan. They must not return to their forms for an attendance check.	
SLT will support supervision of candidates on the yard	

Appendix 10- Managing behaviour in an exam

In an Exam: Students recognise that their exams are an opportunity to demonstrate their knowledge, skills and understanding from their subjects, letting teachers see how they are currently doing or allowing them to achieve good academic outcomes in qualifications which support their progression to their next steps. They demonstrate resilience and organisational skills which allow them to gain in confidence and do their best. They are respectful to each other and all staff, including our team of exam invigilators.

You will see us:

- Going to the toilet before the exam.
- Taking our equipment to the exam room.
- Removing labels from drinks bottles.
- Arriving on time and entering the exam room in a calm orderly manner and in silence.
- Handing in mobile phones, ear buds, other smart and/or electronic devices and watches.
- Sitting in the correct seat.
- Putting your hand up to speak to an invigilator or exams officer if there is a problem with the seating plan or during the exam.
- Being silent before, during and after the exam.
- Attempting to complete all of the exam.
- Using any access arrangements that we have when needed.
- Leaving the exam room in silence and calmly when dismissed.

You will not see us:

- Being late for the exam.
- Being without our equipment.
- Keeping any watches, mobile phones or other smart and/or electronic devices on our person.
- Taking any other unauthorised materials into the exam.
- Talking to other students once we enter the exam room.
- Arguing with staff when challenged or asked to do something.
- Asking to go and fill our water bottles.
- Opening the paper until we are told to do so.
- Not attempting the exam.
- Drawing or writing on the identification cards placed on the desk.
- Drawing or writing on our hands or the tables.
- Turning around and trying to talk or get other students' attention before, during or after the exam.
- Leaving the exam.
- Going before being dismissed by the invigilator or gathering outside the door at the end of the exam.

Appendix 11 – Special Consideration Policy

What is special consideration?

“Special consideration is a post-examination adjustment to a candidate’s mark or grade to reflect temporary illness, temporary injury or some other event outside of the candidate’s control at the time of the assessment, which has had, or is reasonably likely to have had, a material effect on a candidate’s ability to take an assessment or demonstrate his or her normal level of attainment in an assessment.

Special consideration can only seek to go some way to assist a candidate affected by a potentially wide range of difficulties, emotional or physical, which may influence performance in examinations. It cannot remove the difficulty faced by the candidate. There will be situations where candidates should not be entered for an examination. Only minor adjustments can be made to the mark awarded because to do more than this would jeopardize the standard of the examination.”

[JCQ A guide to the special consideration process section 1]

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy is to identify roles and responsibilities in the special consideration process and confirms that Caldew School agrees to “*submit any applications for special consideration where candidates meet the published criteria.*”

[JCQ General regulations for approved centres section 5.10]

Eligibility for special consideration

Roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- ▶ Is familiar with the contents, refers to and directs relevant centre staff to the annually updated JCQ publication SC
- ▶ Ensures that, where relevant and in eligible situations, applications for special consideration will be submitted to awarding bodies by the exams officer

Exams officer

- ▶ Understands the criteria as detailed in SC to determine where candidates will/will not be eligible for special consideration
- ▶ Ensures that, where relevant and in eligible situations, applications for special consideration will be submitted to awarding bodies

Teaching staff and/or SENCo

- ▶ Provide any appropriate evidence or information that may be required to determine a candidate's eligibility for special consideration.

Candidates (or parents/carers)

- ▶ Provide any medical or other evidence that may be required to determine eligibility for special consideration

Applying for special consideration

Where eligible, special consideration will be applied for in a specific exam series where candidates “...*have been fully prepared and have covered the whole course but performance in the examination, or in the production of controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment, is materially affected by adverse circumstances beyond their control.*”

[SC 2]

1. Where a candidate may arrive for an exam and is clearly unwell, extremely distressed and/or may have sustained an injury that requires emergency access arrangements to be put in place:
 - ▶ the candidate will be kept comfortable and under supervision from the required time while appropriate arrangements are put in place for him/her to take the exam in the best possible conditions
 - ▶ a judgement will be made on how the candidate's situation or disposition affected performance in the exam
 - ▶ where appropriate and where eligible, special consideration will be applied for
2. Where candidates may be affected by a major disturbance in the exam room (emergency evacuation etc.), special consideration will be applied for on behalf of all candidates.
3. Where a candidate takes multiple exams (three or more exams) timetabled for the same day and the total duration for those papers is more than 6 hours for GCE exams (AS, A2, A-level) or more than 5 hours 30 minutes for GCSE exams including *any approved extra time but not any time taken for supervised rest breaks*, special consideration for an allowance on last paper taken will be applied for.
4. Where a candidate may be affected by a minor disturbance in the exam room caused by another candidate (momentary bad behaviour, mobile phone ringing etc.), special consideration cannot be applied for.

If a candidate is absent for acceptable reasons, and the centre can verify this, special consideration will be applied for if the exam missed is in the terminal series and the *minimum requirements for enhanced grading in cases of acceptable absence* can be met. If there is an opportunity to re-enter the candidate in the next available exam series, the centre will make the entry and special consideration will not be applied for.

Where other issues or problems affect a candidate or a group of candidates, special consideration will be explored and applied for where eligible. This might include, for example:

- ▶ requesting an honorary certificate
- ▶ a short extension to controlled assessment/coursework/non-examination assessment deadlines
- ▶ submitting a reduced quantity of controlled assessment/coursework/non-examination assessment (shortfall in work)
- ▶ lost or damaged work
- ▶ candidates taking an incorrect or defective question paper
- ▶ candidates undertaking the wrong controlled assessment assignment

Where a candidate may be eligible for special consideration (a post assessment adjustment) in a vocational qualification, the centre will follow the awarding body guidance to determine if, when and how an adjustment can be applied for.

Processing applications for special consideration

Roles and responsibilities

Head of centre

- ▶ Ensures where a candidate may be a relative of the exams officer, the application will be authorised by an alternative member of centre staff

Exams officer

- ▶ Ensures applications will be processed as required by the awarding bodies
- ▶ Keeps evidence to support applications on file until after the publication of results
- ▶ Meets the required deadline(s) for submitting applications

Teaching staff and/or SENCo

- ▶ Provide any appropriate evidence or information that may be required to support a candidate's application for special consideration

Candidates (or parents/carers)

- ▶ Will be asked to provide any required medical or other evidence that may be required to support an application for special consideration

Submitting applications for special consideration

Where a candidate or group of candidates is/are eligible for special consideration, applications will be submitted to the relevant awarding body following the published processes.

Evidence to support applications will be kept on file until after the publication of results.

Timetabled written exams

- ▶ For GCE and GCSE qualifications, applications for individual candidates will be submitted online by logging into the relevant awarding body secure extranet site and following the links to special consideration
- ▶ The processes for submitting a single application to cover all exams *where a candidate is present but disadvantaged* and a separate application for each day *where a candidate is absent from an examination for an acceptable reason* will be followed
- ▶ For other qualifications, applications are submitted online where the awarding body's secure system accepts these.
- ▶ The paper form 10 JCQ/SC Application for special consideration will only be completed and submitted to the awarding body where the online system does not accept applications for a particular qualification
- ▶ For groups of candidates, applications will be made online where the awarding body's secure system accepts group applications or form 10 will be completed
- ▶ The paper form 14 JCQ/ME Self certification for candidates who have missed an examination will only be completed by a candidate where circumstances warrant this and will not be used where the centre knows the candidate was ill

Internally assessed work

- ▶ Where appropriate, applications will be made online where the awarding body's secure system accepts them or form 10 will be completed and submitted to the awarding body
- ▶ Where a short extension to a deadline is being requested an application will be submitted online or by direct email, dependent on the awarding body
- ▶ Where an application relates to a shortfall in work, this will be submitted online or by completing form 10, dependent on the awarding body
- ▶ Where an application relates to lost or damaged work, this will be submitted online or by completing form 15 JCQ/LCW Notification of lost centre assessed work, dependent on the awarding body

Post assessment adjustments – vocational qualifications

- ▶ Where relevant and eligible, form VQ/SC Application for special consideration Vocational qualifications will be completed and submitted to the awarding body

Private candidates

- ▶ Any private candidate entered by the centre must liaise with the exams officer (not the awarding body) regarding any application for special consideration

Appendix 12 – Internal Appeals Procedure

Purpose of the Policy

Caldew School is committed to ensuring that whenever its staff assesses students' work for external qualification, this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the specification for the qualification concerned. Assessments are conducted by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skills, and who have been trained in this activity. The centre is committed to ensuring that assessment evidence provided by candidates is produced and authenticated according to the requirements of the relevant specifications for each subject. Where a set of work is divided between staff, internal moderation and standardisation will ensure consistency.

If a student feels that this may not have happened in relation to his/her work, s/he may make use of this appeals procedure. Note that appeals may only be made against the process that led to the assessment and not against the mark or grade submitted by the centre for moderation by the awarding body.

Procedure for candidates

In accordance with the Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) directives, this procedure outlines the process candidates must follow should they wish to consider requesting a review of marking with regards to GCSE controlled assessment, GCE coursework, or GCE and GCSE non-examination assessments.

1. Candidates will be informed of their centre assessed marks by an NEA interim report, generated through SIMS. We **will not** be able to tell a candidate the grade that the mark converts into, and a candidate **must not** ask for any indication of such a grade.

2. Candidates may request copies of 'materials' to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the centre's marking of a specified assessment. This **must** be made in writing, to the Headteacher, using a return slip on the interim report. The 'materials' may include:

- A copy of the marked work (not the original)
- A copy of the relevant specification, or direction as to where to find it electronically
- A copy of associated subject-specific documents (e.g. assessment grids, candidate assessment forms)

Caldew School will make these 'materials' available promptly upon receipt of any such request.

3. Having reviewed the 'materials', a candidate may make a request for a review of marking for a specified assessment. This **must** be made in writing to the Headteacher (again, using a standard reply slip), to arrive no later than **2 weeks prior to the coursework submission date (subject dependent)**.

4. The request for a marking review **must** also specify:

- In which subject the candidate would like the marking to be reviewed
- The reason/s as to why they wish to request a review, including any evidence to support their view that the centre's mark is incorrect

5. Any request received that does not explain the reason for requesting a review of marking **will not** be considered.

6. Any request received after the deadline **will not** be considered due to the external submission deadline.

7. A 'third party' reviewer will be assigned either from within the school's team of subject specialists, or from colleagues within neighbouring schools. These personnel will have the necessary educational expertise with regards to assessment procedures within secondary education. They will not have had any previous involvement in the assessment of the work.

8. The reviewer will be tasked with ensuring that the candidate's mark is consistent with the standard set in the department concerned.

9. If there is still a discrepancy between the original and reviewed mark, a third opinion will be sought. The Headteacher will inform the candidate of the outcome of the review in writing.

After each candidate's work has been internally assessed and moderated, it is then externally moderated by the awarding body to ensure consistency in marking between centres. The external moderation process may lead to mark changes, which is outside the control of Caldew School and is not covered by this procedure.

Procedure for centre staff

This applies to all GCSE controlled assessment, GCE coursework, GCE, BTEC and GCSE non-examination assessments.

A published procedure will outline the process for candidates wishing to request a review of the centre's marking. This will be available via the Caldew School website. The following procedure is for school staff:

1. The single agreed deadline for all internal marking is (to be inserted by the individual department)

2. The single agreed deadline for all internal moderation of marking is (to be inserted by the individual department)

3. All pupils **must** be given their raw mark/s for their centre assessed work, **but not any suggested grade**, via a SIMS generated interim report. Pupils will be instructed that they are not allowed to ask for a suggested grade.

4. The deadline for candidates to request, in writing (using a return slip on the interim report) to the Headteacher, materials to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the marking in a subject is **3 weeks prior to the final external coursework submission deadline**.

5. Upon receipt of such a request, the respective Subject Leader will provide (within 24 hours) the candidate with materials that may include:

A copy of the marked work (not the original)

- A copy of the relevant specification, or direction as to where to find it electronically
- A copy of associated subject-specific documents (e.g. assessment grids, candidate assessment forms)

6. The deadline for requesting a review of the centre's marking is **2 weeks prior to the final external coursework submission deadline**.

a. This must be done in writing to the Headteacher (using a standard returns slip), and must specify:

- i. In which subject the candidate would like the marking to be reviewed
- ii. The reason/s why they wish to request a review, including any evidence to support their view that the centre's mark is incorrect

7. Any request received after the above deadline will not be considered.

8. A 'third party' reviewer will be assigned either from within the school's team of subject specialists, or from colleagues within neighbouring schools. These personnel will have the necessary educational expertise with regards to assessment procedures within secondary education. They will not have had any previous involvement in the assessment of the work.

9. The reviewer will be tasked with ensuring that the candidate's mark is consistent with the standard set in the department concerned.

10. If there is still a discrepancy between the original and reviewed mark, a third opinion will be sought. The Headteacher will inform the candidate of the outcome of the review in writing.